@AGU PUBLICATIONS

Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems

10.1002/2017GC007251

Kev Points:

- Plateau initiates at ${\sim}20$ Ma and propagates westward
- Elevated mantle potential temperatures decrease from east to
- Neogene uplift and magmatism generated by asthenospheric thermal anomalies

Supporting Information:

- Supporting Information S1
- Data Set S3

F. McNab.

Citation:

McNab, F., Ball, P. W., Hoggard, M. J., & White, N. J. (2018). Neogene uplift and magmatism of Anatolia: Insights from drainage analysis and basaltic geochemistry. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 19, 175-213. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GC007251

Received 22 SEP 2017

RESEARCH ARTICLE

- west

- Data Set S1 • Data Set S2

Correspondence to:

fm430@esc.cam.ac.uk; N. White. njw10@cam.ac.uk

Accepted 4 DEC 2017 Accepted article online 9 DEC 2017 Published online 20 JAN 2018

• Regional uplift of East Anatolian

McNAB ET AL.

All Rights Reserved.

© 2017. American Geophysical Union.

Neogene Uplift and Magmatism of Anatolia: Insights From **Drainage Analysis and Basaltic Geochemistry**

F. McNab¹ , P. W. Ball¹ , M. J. Hoggard¹, and N. J. White¹

¹Bullard Laboratories, Department of Earth Sciences, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom

Abstract It is agreed that mantle dynamics have played a role in generating and maintaining the elevated topography of Anatolia during Neogene times. However, there is debate about the relative importance of subduction zone and asthenospheric processes. Key issues concern onset and cause of regional uplift, thickness of the lithospheric plate, and the presence/absence of temperature and/or compositional anomalies within the convecting mantle. Here, we tackle these interlinked issues by analyzing and modeling two disparate suites of observations. First, a drainage inventory of 1,844 longitudinal river profiles is assembled. This database is inverted to calculate the variation of Neogene regional uplift through time and space by minimizing the misfit between observed and calculated river profiles subject to independent calibration. Our results suggest that regional uplift commenced at 20 Ma in the east and propagated westward. Second, we have assembled a database of geochemical analyses of basaltic rocks. Two different approaches have been used to quantitatively model this database with a view to determining the depth and degree of asthenospheric melting across Anatolia. Our results suggest that melting occurs at depths as shallow as 60 km in the presence of mantle potential temperatures as high as 1400°C. There is evidence that temperatures are higher in the east, consistent with the pattern of subplate shear wave velocity anomalies. Our combined results are consistent with isostatic and admittance analyses and suggest that elevated asthenospheric temperatures beneath thinned Anatolian lithosphere have played a first-order role in generating and maintaining regional dynamic topography and basaltic magmatism.

1. Introduction

The origin of Anatolian topography is the subject of considerable debate (Bartol & Govers, 2014; Göğüş & Psyklywec, 2008; Schildgen et al., 2014; Sengör et al., 2003). The landscape is dominated by the low relief Central and East Anatolian Plateaux, which have average elevations of 1–2 km (Figure 1a and Table 1). A patchy distribution of marine sedimentary rocks indicates that large portions of Eastern and Central Anatolia were below mean sea level until middle and late Miocene times, respectively (Figure 1b; Bartol & Govers, 2014; Cipollari et al., 2012, 2013; Cosentino et al., 2012; Hüsing et al., 2009; Poisson et al., 1996; Schildgen et al., 2012a, 2012b, 2014). Nevertheless, the spatial and temporal evolution of this topographic signature and the nature of the processes that drive regional uplift are not well understood.

Anatolia has undergone a complex tectonic history. During the Cenozoic era, gradual closure of the Neotethys Ocean was accommodated by subduction of oceanic lithosphere and by accretion of different crustal fragments, culminating in the collision of Arabia with Eurasia between late Eocene and early Miocene times (e.g., Ballato et al., 2011; Jolivet & Brun, 2010; McQuarrie & Van Hinsbergen, 2013; Schildgen et al., 2014; Sengör & Yilmaz, 1981; Sengör et al., 2008; van Hinsbergen et al., 2010).

Global positioning system (GPS) measurements of crustal displacements, earthquake focal mechanisms, and the distribution of active faults confirm that north-south shortening continues in Eastern Anatolia (Figure 1c; Nocquet, 2012; Reilinger et al., 2006; Sengör et al., 2008). Since Miocene times, east-west translation and extension have dominated in Central and Western Anatolia (e.g., Aktuğ et al., 2009, 2013; Isik et al., 2014; Jackson & McKenzie, 1988; McKenzie, 1978; Yıldırım et al., 2016). İt has been proposed that this westward motion is a manifestation of material extrusion caused by a combination of Arabia-Eurasia collision and roll back of the Hellenic trench (Le Pichon & Kreemer, 2010; Sengör et al., 1985). Tractions on the base of the lithosphere imposed by flow of the subplate mantle and gradients in gravitational potential energy are invoked to drive these motions (England et al., 2016; Faccenna et al., 2013, 2014). While crustal and





Figure 1. Tectonic setting of Anatolia. (a) Topographic map showing major geographic features. Red/black/blue contours = positive/zero/negative GGM03C free-air gravity anomalies filtered for wavelengths of 730–13,000 km and contoured at 25 mGal intervals (Tapley et al., 2007); WA, Western Anatolia; CAP, Central Anatolian Plateau; EAP, Eastern Anatolian Plateau. (b) Blue labeled polygons = Miocene marine sedimentary rocks (Bartol & Govers, 2014; Cipollari et al., 2012, 2013; Cosentino et al., 2012; Hüsing et al., 2009; Poisson et al., 1996; Schildgen et al., 2012a, 2012b; Schildgen et al., 2014); black polygons = Neogene basaltic volcanism (Schildgen et al., 2014). (c) Black arrows = deformation vectors with reference to Eurasian plate determined from GPS measurements (Nocquet, 2012); colored beach balls = focal mechanisms of large earthquakes ($M_W > 6$) taken from Centroid Moment Tensor Catalogue (Dziewonski et al., 1981; Ekström et al., 2012), where red = reverse, blue = normal, and green = strike-slip events; small colored circles = smaller earthquakes ($M_W < 6$).

2	
5	
Z	
R	
~	
4	
Þ	
Ē	

Basin	Location	Marine microfossil fauna	Epoch (stage)	Age (Ma)	Elevation (km)	Reference
Mut- Ermenek	Sarıkavak	PF: Globigerinoides tenellus. C: Calcidiscus macintyrei; Geophyocapsa caribbeanica; and G. oceanica (medium G.).	Pleistocene (Calabrian)	1.73–1.66	0.63–0.65	Yıldız et al. (2003) and Schildgen et al. (2012a)
	Hacıahmetli	C: Calcidiscus leptoporus, small Geophyocapsa.	Pleistocene (Calabrian)	1.66–1.62	1.2	Yıldız et al. (2003) and Schildgen et al. (2012a)
	Başyala	PF: Orbulina suturalis and O. universa; Cataspidrax parvalus; Globigerinoides trilobus, G. quadrilobatus, and G. extremus. C: Helicosphaera stalis Theodorisis and H. walbersdorfensis T.; Umbilicospaera jafari.	Miocene (Tortonian)	8.35–7.81	1.9	Cosentino et al. (2012) ^a and Cipollari et al. (2013) ^b
	Olukpinar	C: Calcidiscus floridanus; Reticulofenestra pseudoumbicilus; Helicosphaera walbersdorfensis and H. carteri; Cocolithus pelagicus.	Miocene (Serravallian)	13–12.5	1.65	Cipollari et al. (2013) ^b
Köprü	Sarıalan	PF: Heterostegina spp.; Globigerinoides extremus; Sphaeroidinellopsis spp.; Orbulina universa; Globorotalia menardi; Siphonia reticulata. BF: Elphidium spp.; Lobatula lobatula.	Miocene (Tortonian)	7.17–6.7	1.5	Schildgen et al. (2012b) ^c
Aksu	Gebiz	PF: Globigerinoides trilobus, G. extremus, G. sacculifer, and G. conglobatus; Globoturborotalia nepenthes; Globoratalia margaritae margaritae, G. m. evoluta, and G. primitiva. C: Amaurolithus delicatus; Cyclococcolithus macintyrei; Discoaster brouweri, D. pentradiatus and D. surculus; Triquetrorhabdus rugosus.	s trilobus, G. extremus, G. sacculifer, and Pliocene (Zanclean) 5.3–3.6 0.45 Globoturborotalia nepenthes; argaritae margaritae, G. m. evoluta, and Amaurolithus delicatus; Cyclococcolithus oaster brouweri, D. pentradiatus and uetrorhabdus ruaosus		0.45	Poisson et al. (2011) ^d
Adana	Avadan	PF: Sphaeroidinellopsis seminulia and S. disjuncta; Orbulina universa; Globigerinoides spp. BF: Lenticula spp.; Cibicides spp. C: Reticulofenstral zanclea and R. pseudoumbicilus; Helicosphaera carteri and H. intermedia.	Pliocene (Zanclean)	5.3–5.2	0.35–0.65 ^e	Cipollari et al. (2012) ^f
	Borehole T-191	PF: Neogloboquadrina dutertrei and N. pachyderma. BF: Ammonia spp.; Elphidium spp.	Pleistocene (Gelasian-Calabrian)	2.6–0.8	0.03–0.23 ^e	Cipollari et al. (2012) ^f
Sivas	Central-eastern Sivas	BF: Miogypsinoides; Miogypsina; Nephrolepidina. PF: Globiaerinoides trilobus.	Miocene (Aquitian-Burgidalian)	23.0–16	1.5	Poisson et al. (1996) ^g and Ciner et al. (2002)
Muş	Eastern Muş	PF: Paragloborotalia pseudokugleri, P. siakensis; Globiaerinoides primordius.	Oligocene (Chattian)	25.9–23.0	1.5	Hüsing et al. (2009) ^h
Elaziğ	Gevla	PF: Paragloborotalia opima nana; Globigerina ciperoensis and G. angulisuturalis; Globigerinoides primordius.	Oligocene (Chattian)	27.5–23.0	1.1	Hüsing et al. (2009) ^h
Kahramanmaraş	"Road," Avcilar	PF: Globoratalia partimlabiata; Paragloborotalia siakensis; Globigerinoides subquadratus. C: Cyclicargolithus abisectus: Spenolithus heteromorphus.	Miocene (Serravallian-Tortonian)	12.8–9.9	0.8	Hüsing et al. (2009) ^h
Devrakani	_	BF: Nummulites; Discocyclina.	(Early) Miocene	23–16	1.1	Tunoğlu (1991, 1994)

Note. PF, planktic foraminifera; BF, benthic foraminifera; C, calcareous nannoplankton.

^aAge consistent with Ostracod assemblages and paleomagnetic analyses.

^bEchinoid, coral, bryzoa, sponge spicule bioclasts. Bioturbation (*Thalassinoides* and *Chondrites*).

^cPatch coral reefs, sponge spicules, bryozoa, pebbles with *Lithofaga* borings. Age consistent with U-Pb zircon dating of reworked ash.

^dPatch coral reefs, stromatolites. Age consistent with ostracod assemblages.

^eTotal uplift including estimated water depths during deposition.

^fAges consistent with Ostracod assemblages.

^gPatch and larger coral reefs. Sand bodies with gutter casts, hummocky cross stratification, 3D ripples; interpreted as storm deposits.

^hEchinoderms, corals, sponges. Fining upward sand bodies with flute casts, displaced nummulites and gastropods; interpreted as turbiditic.

lithospheric shortening probably played a role in causing regional uplift of the East Anatolian Plateau, it is less easy to account for late Neogene uplift of Western, and particularly Central Anatolia.

Plateau morphology and an apparent lack of crustal and lithospheric shortening have led many authors to invoke different lithospheric and asthenospheric processes in order to produce regional uplift. Examples include: steepening, tearing, and breaking off of subducting African lithosphere; delamination of Anatolian lithosphere; lithospheric dripping; and upwelling asthenospheric thermal anomalies (Bartol & Govers, 2014; Faccenna et al., 2013; Göğüş & Psyklywec, 2008; Göğüş et al., 2017; Schildgen et al., 2014; Şengör et al., 2003). Each of these mechanisms invokes arrival of asthenospheric mantle at shallow depths, where it provides isostatic and/or viscous topographic support. Positive long-wavelength free-air gravity anomalies, slow *P* and *S* wave anomalies, together with elevated regional heat flow are all consistent with the presence of buoyant material within the uppermost mantle beneath Anatolia (Bakırcı et al., 2012; Biryol et al., 2011; Chamorro et al., 2014; Govers & Fichtner, 2016; Salaün et al., 2012; Skolbeltsyn et al., 2014).

Coeval with this phase of Neogene regional uplift, basaltic magmatism has occurred throughout Anatolia (Figure 1b; Çoban, 2007; Keskin, 2003). Geochemistry of these volcanic rocks should reflect processes involved in their generation. The most obvious feature is a clear shift from calc-alkaline (i.e., subduction related) to intraplate alkaline magmatism during Miocene times. This shift is particularly well defined within Western Anatolia, although similar patterns have also been described in Central and Eastern Anatolia (Agostini et al., 2007; Innocenti et al., 2005; Keskin, 2007; Wilson et al., 1997). It is widely recognized that this shift reflects a change in melt-generating processes that may be linked to growth of regional uplift.

Here, we combine quantitative analysis of three different sets of observations to show how Neogene uplift and magmatism of Anatolia are related to ongoing processes within the asthenospheric mantle immediately beneath the lithospheric plate. First, we compile published receiver function estimates of crustal thickness to identify residual topographic anomalies. We also investigate the relationship between topography and free-air gravity anomalies to calculate the degree of flexural rigidity and to isolate long wavelength dynamic support of the Anatolian Plateaux. Second, we extract and model an inventory of longitudinal river profiles. This drainage inventory is inverted subject to independent geologic constraints to extract a regional uplift history. Finally, we compile whole-rock geochemical analyses for Neogene basaltic rocks. A combined forward and inverse modeling strategy is used to determine the depth and degree of melting. In this way, we investigate processes that potentially link magmatism, regional uplift, and the geodynamic evolution of Anatolia.

2. Crustal and Lithospheric Templates

2.1. Receiver Function Analyses

Several lines of evidence suggest that Neogene uplift of the Anatolian Plateaux is not generated by crustal thickening alone (Schildgen et al., 2014). A significant consideration is the relationship between present-day elevation and crustal thickness. Here, we have assembled a database of crustal thickness estimates derived from published receiver function analyses (Figure 2; supporting information Data Set S1; Çakir & Erduran, 2004, 2011; Erduran, 2009; Gök et al., 2008; Saunders et al., 1998; Taghizadeh-Farahmand et al., 2010; Tezel et al., 2010, 2013; Vanacore et al., 2013; van der Meijde et al., 2009; Zhu et al., 2006; Zor et al., 2003; 2006). These analyses use the recorded travel times and amplitudes of direct and converted phases from teleseismic earthquakes to constrain the shear wave velocity structure of the crust and upper mantle. Crustal thicknesses estimated in this way increase from \sim 20 km in Western Anatolia to \sim 50 km on the East Anatolian Plateau (Figure 2a). This pattern broadly reflects the way in which present-day crustal deformation changes from northeast-southwest extension in the west to north-south shortening in the east. In general, thicker crust has greater elevation.

It is instructive to compare the observed relationship between crustal thickness and elevation with that predicted by isostatic calculations. Recent studies attempt to determine the residual topography of Anatolia (i.e., that component of topographic elevation which is not accounted for by the observed thickness and density of the crust and lithospheric mantle; Boschi et al., 2010; Faccenna et al., 2013, 2014; Uluocak et al., 2016). These studies exploit different data sources and reference frames such that estimates of residual topography vary between -2 and +2 km. Here, we balance idealized columns of continental lithosphere with different thicknesses and densities against the density structure of a mid-ocean ridge.



Figure 2. Crustal thickness estimates. (a) Map showing locations of published receiver function analyses colored according to crustal thickness. Circles = crustal thicknesses determined by H- κ stacking; diamonds = crustal thicknesses determined by inverse modeling; hexagons = crustal thicknesses determined by joint inverse modeling of receiver functions and surface wave dispersion observations. (b) Pair of cartoons showing oceanic and continental columns together with continental geothermal gradient used for Airy isostatic calculation if continental lithosphere is thermal equilibrated. (c) Calculated elevation plotted as function of lithospheric thickness and lithospheric mantle density for a crustal thickness of 40 km. Numbered boxes = elevation in kilometers. (d) Observed crustal thicknesses plotted as function of low-pass filtered (i.e., wavelengths >30 km) elevations. Dashed lines = crustal thickness as function of elevation for the equilibrated continental lithospheric thicknesses of 50–200 km. (e–g) Same for thermally disequilibrated continental lithosphere, following instantaneous thinning of 200 km thick lithosphere.

The elevation of a column of continental lithosphere, e, for a crustal thickness of t_{cc} is given by

$$e = t_{cc} \left(\frac{\rho_a - \rho_{cc}}{\rho_a} \right) - t_{oc} \left(\frac{\rho_a - \rho_{oc}}{\rho_a} \right) - s_w \left(\frac{\rho_a - \rho_w}{\rho_a} \right) + (a - t_{cc}) \left(\frac{\rho_a - \rho_m}{\rho_a} \right), \tag{1}$$

where t_{oc} and ρ_{oc} are the thickness and density of oceanic crust, s_w and ρ_w are the thickness and density of water, and a is the lithospheric thickness (Figure 2). The average density of Anatolian crust $\rho_{cc}=2.8$ Mg m⁻³, according to the EPcrust reference model (Molinari & Morelli, 2011). Densities of asthenospheric mantle, ρ_{ar} and lithospheric mantle, ρ_{mr} are calculated by assuming an adiabatic temperature gradient and by including the effects of compressibility and putative chemical depletion (for detailed description see supporting information).

Here, we investigate two conceivable end-members for the thermal structure of continental lithosphere. In the first case, the lithosphere is assumed to be thermally equilibrated (Figure 2b). The calculated elevation as a function of crustal thickness is shown in Figure 2d. In the second case, we simulate the loss or thinning of lithospheric material (e.g., delamination) without any heating of the remaining lithosphere toward a steady state geothermal gradient (Figure 2e). In this disequilibrated case, continental lithosphere is colder and denser than equilibrated lithosphere of the same thickness, and so the expected elevation is lower (Figure 2f and 2g).

In order to determine the degree to which changes in crustal thickness can account for observed elevation, lithospheric thickness must be estimated. Inevitably, these estimates are subject to considerable uncertainty. Reid et al. (2017) estimate lithospheric thicknesses of ~60 km beneath Central Anatolia based on analysis of basaltic geochemistry. Estimates based upon receiver function analyses range between 60 and 90 km (Angus et al., 2015; Çakir & Erduran, 2011; Kind et al., 2015). A reasonable upper bound for presentday lithospheric thickness is ${\sim}120$ km since a greater thickness would manifest itself as a substantial lithospheric root that should be visible in surface wave tomographic models (Priestley & McKenzie, 2013). For this upper bound, present-day Anatolian topography is approximately in isostatic equilibrium. If lithospheric thickness is less than 90 km, Anatolia should have a residual topography of -1 km (i.e., it will be depressed with respect to the mid-oceanic ridge). Note that if the lithosphere beneath Anatolia is thermally disequilibrated following rapid loss of the lithosphere, a thinner lithosphere is required to achieve isostatic equilibrium. We emphasize that a significant source of uncertainty in these isostatic calculations is the density of the lithospheric mantle which can be reduced through depletion (i.e., become more hartzburgitic) by up to 60 kg m⁻³ (Crosby et al., 2010). Figures 2d and 2f show the effects that varying the density of lithospheric mantle upon elevation for different lithospheric thicknesses. Progressively depleted lithospheric mantle tends to increase expected elevations and hence lowers residual topographic estimates.

Scattered outcrops of emergent marine sedimentary rocks suggest that Anatolian Plateaux underwent 1-2 km of regional uplift during Neogene times. Isostatic relationships can provide useful quantitative insights into plausible mechanisms for this youthful regional uplift. First, regional uplift could be generated by a change in crustal thickness of 6–13 km (i.e., 15–33% crustal thickening; Figures 2d and 2g). However, present-day GPS velocities, earthquake focal mechanisms, and the planform of mapped Neogene faults suggest that this mechanism is unlikely, particularly for Central Anatolia (Aktuğ et al., 2009, 2013; Isik et al., 2014; Jackson & McKenzie, 1988; McKenzie, 1978; Nocquet, 2012; Sengör et al., 2008; Yildirim et al., 2016). Note that if crustal thickening were to occur by magmatic underplating, even larger thicknesses would be required because of the greater density of underplated material. Second, thinning of the lithospheric mantle has been proposed (Bartol & Govers, 2014; Faccenna et al., 2013; Göğüş & Psyklywec, 2008; Göğüş et al., 2017; Schildgen et al., 2014; Şengör et al., 2003). Isostatic relationships suggest that removal of 75–125 km of undepleted lithospheric mantle could generate the required topographic response. This proposal requires Miocene lithospheric thicknesses of 150-200 km (Figure 2). Third, a change in subplate support could have occurred (e.g., slab detachment and/or emplacement of anomalously hot asthenospheric material). Since the present-day relationship between crustal thickness and elevation suggests that Anatolia is approximately in isostatic equilibrium or slightly drawn down, this third mechanism requires Anatolia to have been convectively drawn down by a further 1-2 km during pre-Miocene times. We note that oceanic lithosphere of the Black Sea to the north and of the East Mediterranean Sea to the south have negative residual depth anomalies of 1-2 km at the present-day (Hoggard et al., 2016, 2017).

2.2. Admittance Analysis

Anatolia has significant long-wavelength free-air gravity anomalies of up to +50 mGal and so it is unlikely that its topography is maintained by crustal thickness and density variations alone (Figure 3b). In order to investigate the degree of support provided by flexural isostasy and subplate density variations, the spectral relationship between topographic and gravity fields is analyzed (Figure 3).

Admittance, *Z*(*k*), is the ratio between topography and coherent free-air gravity anomalies as a function of wave number, $k=2\pi/\lambda$. Here, observed values of *Z* are calculated from the SRTM30_PLUS topography and EIGEN-6C gravity fields within a 650 × 1,500 km box using a two-dimensional multitaper method (Becker et al., 2009; Förste et al., 2012; McKenzie & Fairhead, 1997). At short (i.e., <150 km) wavelengths, *Z* is ~100 mGal km⁻¹ and at long (i.e., >300 km) wavelengths, *Z* is 30 ± 4 mGal km⁻¹ (Figure 3c). Between 150 and 300 km, *Z* gradually decreases. Significantly, the degree of coherence between topography and gravity is greater than 0.5 which suggests that the observed variation of *Z* is robust (Figure 3e).



Figure 3. Admittance analysis of Anatolia. (a) SRTM30_PLUS topography (Becker et al., 2009). Box = $650 \times 1,500$ km window of analysis. (b) EIGEN-6C free-air gravity anomalies (Förste et al., 2012). (c) Admittance for component of gravity anomalies that are coherent with topography plotted as function of wave number. Circles with vertical bars = observed admittance values $\pm 1\sigma$; black line = best-fitting elastic model with $T_e = 10.4$ km and $F_2 = 29\%$; upper/lower crustal thicknesses and densities are 10/25 km and 2.4/2.9 Mg m⁻³, respectively; at wavelength of 500 km, $Z = 30 \pm 4$ mGal km⁻¹. (d) Contoured misfit to open circles plotted as function of T_e and F_2 . Black cross = locus of global minimum. (e) Coherence between gravity and topography as function of wave number. (f) Vertical slice through global minimum at $F_2 = 29\%$. Doubling value of minimum misfit yields uncertainty range of $T_e = 10.4 \pm 1.5$ km.

The rapid decrease in Z at intermediate wavelengths can be used to estimate the elastic thickness, T_e . Theoretical estimates of admittance are calculated for a suite of values of T_e using an idealized two-layer crustal model overlying a mantle half-space. The upper and lower crust are assigned thicknesses of 10 and 25 km and densities of 2.4 and 2.9 Mg m⁻³, respectively. T_e together with the fraction of internal loading that correlates with surface topography, F_2 , are covaried until a satisfactory fit between observed and calculated admittance is obtained (McKenzie, 2003). A parameter sweep through T_e-F_2 space reveals a well-defined global minimum at $T_e = 10.4$ km and $F_2 = 29\%$. This value is consistent with values of $T_e < 20$ km obtained from both free-air admittance and Bouguer coherency studies which used wavelet transforms (Audet, 2014).

The value of T_e controls the maximum wavelength over which loads can be supported by flexural isostasy. The half-wavelength of flexural support, x_{br} for a line load imposed upon an unbroken plate is given by

$$x_b = \left[\frac{4\pi^4 E T_e^3}{12g(1-\sigma^2)(\rho_m - \rho_s)}\right]^{\frac{1}{4}},$$
(2)

where E = 70 GPa is Young's modulus, g = 9.81 m s⁻² is gravitational acceleration, $\sigma = 0.25$ is Poisson's ratio, $\rho_m = 3.3$ Mg m⁻³ is the density of the mantle, and ρ_s is the density of infilling material (Gunn, 1943). For airloaded topography, $T_e = 10.4^{+1.7}_{-1.5}$ km yields $x_b = 96^{+13}_{-11}$ km. This value is significantly smaller than the typical wavelengths of Anatolian Plateaux, which suggests that flexural loading is unlikely to be the primary cause of this elevated interior.

A flexural isostatic model provides an excellent fit to observed admittance at wavelengths shorter than \sim 300 km (Figure 3c). At longer wavelengths, *Z* does not tend to zero but instead approaches $+30\pm4$ mGal km⁻¹. Positive values of long-wavelength admittance are consistent with calculations of dynamic support for convection within the upper mantle (Colli et al., 2016; McKenzie, 2010). Negative long-wavelength gravity anomalies occur immediately to the north of Anatolia in the Black Sea and to the south in the East Mediterranean Sea. These anomalies are consistent with negative residual depth measurements of 1–2 km that imply dynamic topographic drawdown (Hoggard et al., 2016, 2017).

3. Inverse Modeling of River Profiles

3.1. Anatolian Drainage Networks

Emergent marine sedimentary rocks represent tangible evidence that specific locations throughout Anatolia have been uplifted in Neogene times. Nevertheless, the spatial and temporal pattern of regional epeirogeny remains poorly constrained (Bartol & Govers, 2014). It is now recognized that fluvial landscapes are influenced in significant ways by regional tectonic forcing. An important corollary is that suites of longitudinal river profiles should indirectly record the regional uplift history (Whipple, 2009). When landscapes are in equilibrium, these profiles tend to become concave upward. When uplift exceeds erosion, convex-upward shapes develop that give rise to long-wavelength knickzones. It has been shown that these observations can be exploited quantitatively by jointly inverting substantial inventories of profiles to calculate the spatial and temporal pattern of regional uplift rate (Fox et al., 2014; Goren et al., 2014; Pritchard et al., 2009; Roberts & White, 2010; Rudge et al., 2015). We apply this inverse modeling approach to an Anatolian drainage network.

We first extracted a drainage network containing 1,844 rivers that covers most of Anatolia (Figure 4). A SRTM digital elevation model was decimated to yield a horizontal resolution of 170 m (Becker et al., 2009). Anomalous spikes and holes were removed and filled. Flow-routing algorithms were then used to recover a suite of river profiles and their associated upstream drainage areas as a function of distance (supporting information Data Set S2; Tarboton, 1997). The fidelity of recovered river profiles was checked and verified using Landsat imagery. Putative river profiles that deviated significantly from actual profiles were excised. We also removed endorheic portions of drainage networks in the vicinity of Lakes Van, Tuz, and Burdur.

Extracted river profiles from four major catchments that drain the Anatolian Plateaux are presented in Figure 5. The shapes of these profiles deviate significantly from equilibrated profiles which typically have concave-upward shapes. Significant knickpoints (i.e., short wavelength changes in slope) are primarily caused by intrinsic processes (e.g., lithologic contrasts, active faulting, artificial dams). However, profiles





from these catchments also exhibit broad convex-upward knickzones with wavelengths of hundreds of kilometers and amplitudes of hundreds of meters. These knickzones tend to correlate within and between catchments. The northern edge of the Central Anatolian Plateau has examples of drainage networks (e.g.,



Figure 5. Observed and calculated longitudinal river profiles for major Anatolian catchments. Colored lines = observed river profiles within each catchment; dotted lines = calculated river profiles. (a) Sakarya catchment. (b) Kizilirmak catchment. (c) Kura catchment. (d) Tigris-Euphrates catchment.

Sakarya and Kizilirmak catchments) that are highly disequilibrated (Figures 5a and 5b). It is generally agreed that long-wavelength knickzones are manifestations of external forcing generated by spatial and temporal patterns of regional uplift. Here, our goal is to use inventories of river profiles to constrain these patterns.

3.2. Inverse Modeling Approach

The shape of a longitudinal river profile depends upon the competing interplay between uplift rate, *U*, and erosion rate, *E*, so that

$$-\frac{\partial z}{\partial t} = E(x,t) + U(x,t), \tag{3}$$

where x is the distance from the river mouth along a given profile, z is elevation, and t is time before present day. Erosion is usually parametrized using the phenomenological stream power law such that

$$E(x,t) = -\nu A(x)^m \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\right)^n + \kappa(x) \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x^2}.$$
(4)

The first term of this equation describes the headward retreat of steeper portions of the river channel. In this detachment-limited case, *v*, *m*, and *n* are erosional constants and *A* is upstream drainage area (Howard & Kerby, 1983; Whipple & Tucker, 1999). The second term describes downward erosion of the channel that is controlled by sedimentary transport. In this transport-limited case, κ represents "erosional diffusivity" (Sklar & Dietrich, 1998; Tomkin et al., 2003; Whipple & Tucker, 2002). The values of *v*, *m*, *n*, and κ are poorly known and the subject of considerable debate. Previous studies have found that, at long wavelengths, different values of κ have a negligible influence on the resultant uplift rate histories (Paul et al., 2014; Rudge et al., 2015). Furthermore, Paul et al. (2014), Czarnota et al. (2014), and Rudge et al. (2015) demonstrate that optimal fits between observed and calculated river profiles are achieved for *n* = 1.

We can therefore simplify the stream power law so that

$$-\frac{\partial z}{\partial t} = -vA(x)^m \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\right) + U(x,t).$$
(5)

This linear kinematic wave equation can be solved using the method of characteristics. Here, we exploit an algorithm developed by Rudge et al. (2015) to pose and solve the inverse problem. Uplift values are specified at a discrete set of spatial and temporal nodes, represented by the vector **U**. The appropriate matrix equation is

z

$$=M\mathbf{U},$$
 (6)

where \mathbf{z} is the elevation at different loci along observed river profiles and M is the model matrix that contains the information required to translate any given uplift history into calculated river profiles. This information includes upstream drainage area together with values of the erosional constants. Equation (5) can be inverted to determine \mathbf{U} . We use a damped, nonnegative least squares approach where we seek to minimize

$$|M\mathbf{U}-z|^2 + \lambda_s^2 |S\mathbf{U}|^2 + \lambda_t^2 |T\mathbf{U}|^2$$

subject to $\mathbf{U} \ge 0.$ (7)

The nonnegativity constraint is imposed to suppress positive and negative oscillations. λ_s and λ_t are spatial and temporal smoothing parameters that control regularization of this problem by penalizing spatial and temporal roughness such that

$$\left|S\mathbf{U}\right|^{2} = \int_{S} \int_{t=0}^{t=max} \left|\nabla U\right|^{2} dt \, dS \tag{8}$$

and

$$T\mathbf{U}|^{2} = \int_{S} \int_{t=0}^{t=max} \left| \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} \right|^{2} dt \, dS, \tag{9}$$

respectively. In this way, hundreds of river profiles can be simultaneously inverted to determine a regional uplift history.

It is necessary to prescribe a topographic starting condition for this inverse model. Miocene marine sedimentary rocks are distributed across portions of Anatolia, which implies that modest topographic relief existed at this time (Figure 1b and Table 1; Bartol & Govers, 2014; Cipollari et al., 2012, 2013; Cosentino et al., 2012; Hüsing et al., 2009; Poisson et al., 1996; Schildgen et al., 2012a, 2012b, 2014). This implication is consistent with stable isotopic compositions of sediment samples from continental basins across Central Anatolia, which indicate a lack of significant orographic barriers until middle Miocene times (Lüdecke et al., 2013; Schemmel et al., 2013). These observations indicate that negligible topographic elevation is a reasonable starting condition. It is important to point out, however, that other starting conditions could be used.

The inverse algorithm also assumes that the upstream drainage area of each river profile is invariant. In reality, river channels can change their courses, capture events can occur, and drainage divides can migrate (Willett et al., 2014). Fortunately, upstream drainage area, A, is raised to a fractional power in the stream power formulation (equation (5)). A significant consequence is that uplift histories calculated by inverse modeling are insensitive to substantial (e.g., $\pm 50\%$) excursions in A (Paul et al., 2014). Therefore, small-scale capture events generated by drainage divide migration at the backs of catchments are unlikely to significantly affect our results.

A more problematic issue is a major rerouting event, caused by active faulting or switches in regional tilting. However, knickzones that are generated in this way are unlikely to be coherent from catchment to catchment. If so, these events may be recognizable by large values of residual misfit within particular catchments. Active faulting can also laterally displace catchments, affecting the spatial distribution of knickzones and hence the spatial planform of calculated uplift histories. However, estimates of the cumulative offset across the North Anatolian Fault, the dominant tectonic structure, range between 50 and 110 km (Akbayram et al., 2016; Gürsoy et al., 2017; Hubert-Ferrari et al., 2002). These estimates are small compared to the length scales of regional uplift resolved by inverse modeling.

3.3. Model Calibration

This inverse approach has been used to calculate a regional uplift history of Anatolia from an inventory of 1,844 river profiles (Figures 6 and 7). However, calculated uplift histories are strongly dependent on values of the erosional and smoothing parameters. A total of four parameters must be determined: two erosional constants, v and m, together with two smoothing parameters, λ_s and λ_t . In general, their values are not known a priori and instead are calibrated using a combination of the observed river profiles and independent uplift and incision constraints. Unlike m, λ_{sr} and $\lambda_{tr} v$ does not directly influence the shapes of river profiles or the spatial pattern of calculated uplift histories. v simply linearly scales the rate of erosion in time and hence the length of calculated uplift histories.

First, we choose the values of m, λ_s , and λ_t based upon the amount of residual misfit between observed and calculated river profiles and the roughness of calculated uplift histories. Richards et al. (2016) use a similar approach and report significant trade-offs between these three parameters. To assess the effect of these trade-offs on the chosen values of m, λ_s , and λ_t , we performed a three parameter sweep. In this sweep, values of m, λ_s , and λ_t are simultaneously varied between 0.15 and 0.75, 10⁻³ and 10³, and 10⁻³ and 10³, respectively (Figure 8). The minimum misfit between observed and calculated river profiles is obtained for a value of $m \sim 0.45$. The locus of this minimum is not strongly dependent on the degree of spatial or temporal smoothing that is applied, *contra* Richards et al. (2016). We aim to find values of the two damping parameters that yield the smoothest possible model which still adequately fits the observed river profiles. In this case, we choose $\lambda_s = 1$ and $\lambda_t = 3$ (Figure 8). Examples of river profiles from four catchments calculated using our chosen values of m, λ_s , and λ_t are shown in Figure 5.

Second, we select the value of v by calibrating against independent observations of uplift rate that are determined from the elevation of emergent marine sedimentary rocks. For example, Neogene deposits containing foraminifera and corals have been identified and biostratigraphically dated in several basins across the region (Figure 1c). At the southern margin of the Central Anatolian Plateau, sediments within the Köprü, Mut-Ermenek, and Adana basins were deposited during Tortonian to Calabrian times and are now exposed at elevations of between 1.2 and 2 km (Cipollari et al., 2012, 2013; Cosentino et al., 2012; Schildgen et al., 2012a, 2012b). Early to middle Miocene sedimentary rocks at elevations of \sim 1 km are reported from the Devrekâni basin at the northern margin of the Central Anatolian Plateau (Schildgen et al., 2014; Tunoğlu, 1991). In Eastern Anatolia, marine sediments from the Sivas, Kahramanmaraş, Elaziğ, and Muş basins were



Figure 6. Cumulative uplift history of Anatolia calculated by minimizing misfit between observed and predicted river profiles with $\lambda_s = 1$, $\lambda_t = 3$, m = 0.45, and v = 1.6. (a–f) Spatial pattern of regional uplift rate at selected time steps between 13 Ma and present day. Hatched regions were excluded from inverse model. (g–o) Spatial pattern of cumulative uplift at selected time steps between 21 Ma and present day. (p–r) Maps showing distribution of nonzero entries (i.e., model coverage) within model matrix, M, at selected time steps.



Figure 7. Calculated uplift histories at individual nodes. (a) Cumulative uplift history of Eastern Anatolian Plateau. Red line = optimal cumulative uplift model with λ_s =0.3, λ_t =3 m = 0.45, and v = 1.2; black/gray pixels = ensemble of smooth models for which χ^2 is less than twice value at global minimum. (e) Uplift rate history of Eastern Anatolian Plateau. Red line = optimal uplift rate model; black bar = Neogene basaltic magmatism. (b and f) Silvas basin. Blue bar/dashed lines = marine sedimentary rocks. (c and g) Central Anatolian Plateau. Brown bar denotes absence of orographic barrier. (d and h) Mut-Erminek basin. Purple bar denotes sedimentary deposition from Göksu catchment. (i) Topographic map showing location of independent constraints. Boxes labeled A–D = loci of individual nodes; blue polygons = uplifted marine sedimentary rocks; black polygons = Neogene volcanic fields; brown squares = loci of sedimentary basins whence samples were taken for stable isotopic study reported by Lüdecke et al. (2013); purple lines = Göksu catchment, for which sedimentary flux history was determined by Walsh-Kennedy et al. (2014).

deposited during Aquitanian to Tortonian times and later uplifted to elevations of 1–2 km (Hüsing et al., 2009; Poisson et al., 1996). In this way, average uplift rates over appropriate time intervals can be calculated at specific locations within Anatolia (Table 2). Observed uplift rates can be directly compared with



Figure 8. Model misfit and model roughness as function of λ_s , λ_t , and m. (a) $m - \lambda_s$ Space. Surface shape represents model roughness where spatial and temporal roughness are normalized, combined and re-normalized between 0 and 1; shading represents misfit between observed and calculated river profiles; red circle = optimal locus in model parameter space. (b) $m - \lambda_t$ Space. (c) $\lambda_s - \lambda_t$ Space.

corresponding uplift and incision rates calculated for a range of values of v (Figure 9a and 9b). An optimal value of $v = 1.6 \pm 1.0 \text{ m}^{0.1} \text{ Ma}^{-1}$ was determined. The upper and lower bounds correspond to values of v that yield χ^2 values that are less than double their values at the global minimum.

In southeastern Anatolia, the Euphrates River has incised a series of flood basalt flows. 40 Ar/ 39 Ar dating of these flows permits long-term incision rates to be determined (Figure 4; Demir et al., 2007, 2012). These calculated local rates help to corroborate our calibration of *v*. To calculate incision rates for the Euphrates River, we first use a forward model to predict the evolution of its longitudinal profile as a function of time. This forward model solves equation (5) using an implicit downstream finite-difference scheme for an uplift history previously determined by inverse modeling. Once a suite of river profiles has been calculated, it is straightforward to estimate the amount of vertical incision, *z*, by using the advective term of the stream power formulation such that

$$z(x,t) = vA(x)\frac{\partial z(x,t)}{\partial x}.$$
(10)

Incision rates are calculated for a range of values of v and can then be compared with observed incision rates (Table 2; Figure 9c and 9d). We obtained an optimal value of $v \sim 0.9^{+0.1}_{-0.2} m^{0.1} \text{ Ma}^{-1}$. As before, the upper and lower bounds correspond to values of v that yield χ^2 values that are less than double their values at the locus of the global minimum. This value falls within the range determined using observed and calculated regional uplift rates.

Table 2

Observed and Calculated Uplift Rates

Location	Lat. (°)	Lon. (°)	Age (Ma)	Obs. rate (mm yr^{-1})	Calc. rate ^a (mm yr ^{-1})	Ref.	
Uplift Constraints							
Sarıkavak	36.57	33.65	1.70 ± 0.04	0.37 ± 0.02	$0.18\pm0.25^{\mathrm{b}}$	Yıldız et al. (2003) and Schildgen et al. (2012a)	
Haciahmetli	36.62	33.60	1.64 ± 0.02	$\textbf{0.73} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$0.19\pm0.24^{\mathrm{b}}$	Yıldız et al. (2003) and Schildgen et al. (2012a)	
Başyala	36.77	32.68	$\textbf{8.08} \pm \textbf{0.27}$	0.23 ± 0.01	0.16 ± 0.04	Cosentino et al. (2012) and Cipollari et al. (2013)	
Olukpınar	36.49	33.01	12.75 ± 0.25	$\textbf{0.13} \pm \textbf{0.003}$	$\textbf{0.12}\pm\textbf{0.03}$	Cipollari et al. (2013)	
Sarialan	37.50	31.35	$\textbf{6.94} \pm \textbf{0.24}$	$\textbf{0.22}\pm\textbf{0.010}$	$\textbf{0.20}\pm\textbf{0.08}$	Schildgen et al. (2012b)	
Gebiz	37.12	30.98	$\textbf{4.45} \pm \textbf{0.85}$	0.11 ± 0.03	$\textbf{0.12}\pm\textbf{0.12}$	Poisson et al. (2011)	
Avadan	37.02	35.10	5.25 ± 0.05	$\textbf{0.10} \pm \textbf{0.03}$	0.10 ± 0.13	Cipollari et al. (2012)	
Borehole T-191	37.01	35.13	1.7 ± 0.9	0.15 ± 0.14	$0.12\pm0.18^{\rm b}$	Cipollari et al. (2012)	
C.E. Sivas	39.80	37.75	19.5 ± 3.5	0.080 ± 0.015	$\textbf{0.082} \pm \textbf{0.009}$	Poisson et al. (1996) and Çiner et al. (2002)	
E. Muş	38.77	41.82	24.0 ± 1.5	0.062 ± 0.004	0.089 ± 0.015	Hüsing et al. (2009)	
Gevla	38.85	40.05	25.25 ± 2.25	0.044 ± 0.004	0.068 ± 0.014	Hüsing et al. (2009)	
Avcılar	37.83	36.87	11.35 ± 1.45	0.072 ± 0.009	$\textbf{0.12}\pm\textbf{0.05}$	Hüsing et al. (2009)	
Devrekani	41.62	33.84	19.5 ± 3.5	0.059 ± 0.011	0.078 ± 0.006	Tunoğlu (1991, 1994)	
Incision constraints (Euphrates River)							
Halabiyeh	35.72	39.82	$\textbf{2.72} \pm \textbf{0.02}$	0.040 ± 0.003	0.026 ± 0.0004	Demir et al. (2007) ^c	
Zalabiyeh	35.67	39.84	$\textbf{2.12} \pm \textbf{0.04}$	0.021 ± 0.0001	0.023 ± 0.0006	Demir et al. (2007) ^c	
Shireen	36.57	38.26	8.9	0.007	0.025 ± 0.0013	Demir et al. (2007) ^c	
Selamet	37.43	38.19	11.40 ± 0.24	$\textbf{0.033} \pm \textbf{0.033}$	0.041 ± 0.0027	Demir et al. (2012) ^c	
Özyurt	37.12	37.87	12.68 ± 0.27	0.027 ± 0.001	0.034 ± 0.0010	Demir et al. (2012) ^c	
Asatköyü	36.98	37.97	11.11 ± 0.24	0.031 ± 0.001	0.032 ± 0.0020	Demir et al. (2012) ^c	
Çaylarbaşı	37.71	39.01	$\textbf{7.03} \pm \textbf{0.16}$	0.061 ± 0.001	0.046 ± 0.0053	Demir et al. (2012) ^c	
Siverek Ískelesi	37.90	38.98	10.24 ± 0.22	$\textbf{0.045} \pm \textbf{0.001}$	0.048 ± 0.0040	Demir et al. (2012) ^c	
Saltepe	38.07	39.22	$\textbf{6.70} \pm \textbf{0.14}$	$\textbf{0.073} \pm \textbf{0.002}$	0.061 ± 0.0014	Demir et al. (2012) ^c	

^aRates are calculated as weighted mean of rates at nodes surrounding point of interest. Uncertainty is taken to be standard deviation of this weighted mean. Note that uplift rates are calculated for v = 1.6 and incision rates for v = 0.9.

^bNote that constraints with durations < 2 Ma are below resolution of inverse model and are not included in misfit calculations.

^cEstimates of incision rate from ⁴⁰Ar-³⁹Ar dating of incised basalt lava flows.

We emphasize the importance of rigorously testing uplift histories calculated using these chosen values of m = 0.45 and $v = 1.6 \pm 1.0$ m^{0.1} Ma⁻¹ against a range of additional independent uplift constraints from other locations across Anatolia.

3.4. Cumulative Uplift Histories

Calculated uplift histories that assume m = 0.45 and $v = 1.6 \text{ m}^{0.1} \text{ Ma}^{-1}$ are shown in Figure 6 and in Figure 7. These uplift histories have an acceptable range which reflects permissible upper and lower bounds on m and v. In general, the suite of acceptable models agrees with the chronology of uplift events to within $\sim \pm 5$ Ma.

Across the region, negligible uplift occurs before ~20 Ma. Subsequently, significant regional uplift commences in the East Anatolian Plateau (Figures 7a and 7e). This timing is consistent with a transition from marine to continental deposition within the nearby Muş basin (Hüsing et al., 2009). Uplift rate increases steadily throughout Neogene times, reaching maximum values of 0.15–0.2 mm yr⁻¹ by ~ 10 Ma. A total of about 2–2.5 km of cumulative uplift occurs during this period. Onset of regional uplift appears to predate basaltic magmatism by ~10 Ma, as noted by Bartol and Govers (2014).

After onset of uplift in the East Anatolian Plateau, uplift rapidly migrates westward. At the transition between the Eastern and Central Plateaux, the existence of marine sediments within the Sivas basin suggests that uplift began after ~20 Ma. These observations are faithfully reproduced by our inverse model (Figures 7b and 7f). In agreement with results from the Eastern Anatolian Plateau, uplift rates increase between 15 Ma and the present day to values of ~0.2 mm yr⁻¹. Further west, on the Central Anatolian Plateau itself, calculated uplift rates are more constant (Figures 7c and 7g). They start to increase at ~12 Ma, before increasing rapidly from ~6 Ma to rates that exceed 0.4 mm yr⁻¹ at the present day. These calculated uplift histories are consistent with inferences made from stable isotopic compositions of sediments in continental basins surrounding the Central Anatolian Plateau, which indicate a lack of significant orographic



Figure 9. (a) Misfit between observed and calculated uplift rates as function of v for $\lambda_s = 1$, $\lambda_t = 3$, and m = 0.45. Global minimum at $v \sim 1.6 \text{ m}^{0.1} \text{ Ma}^{-1}$. (b) Calculated uplift rate as function of observed uplift rate for $\lambda_s = 0.3$, $\lambda_t = 3$, m = 0.45, and $v = 1.6 \text{ m}^{0.1} \text{ Ma}^{-1}$. (c) Same as Figure 9a but for incision rates. Global minimum at $v \sim 0.9 \text{ m}^{0.1} \text{ Ma}^{-1}$. (d) Calculated incision rate as function of observed incision rate for $\lambda_s = 0.3$, $\lambda_t = 3$, m = 0.45, and $v = 0.9 \text{ m}^{0.1} \text{ Ma}^{-1}$. (d) Calculated incision rate as function of observed incision rate for $\lambda_s = 0.3$, $\lambda_t = 3$, m = 0.45, and $v = 0.9 \text{ m}^{0.1} \text{ Ma}^{-1}$.

barriers across the region until 15 Ma (Lüdecke et al., 2013). As observed for the East Anatolian Plateau, magmatism lags the onset of uplift by \sim 10 Ma.

Along the southern edge of the Central Anatolian Plateau, there are important independent constraints for the history of uplift (Figures 7d and 7h). For example, a suite of uplifted marine sedimentary rocks became emergent at about 8 Ma and record \sim 2 km of regional uplift at rates of up to 0.7 mm yr⁻¹ for the last 1.5 Ma (Table 1; Cipollari et al., 2012, 2013; Cosentino et al., 2012; Schildgen et al., 2012a, 2012b). In our inverse model, uplift begins slightly earlier at 10 Ma and we do not resolve such rapid uplift rates toward the present day. Instead, calculated uplift rates steadily increase to 0.2–0.3 mm yr⁻¹ by approximately 5 Ma. This discrepancy is most likely to arise from the temporal smoothing imposed upon our uplift history. Nonetheless, it is worth noting that the culmination of our calculated uplift rates corresponds to a peak in observed sedimentary flux from the Göksu catchment between 5.6 and 3.6 Ma (Walsh-Kennedy et al., 2014). Along the northern edge of the Central Anatolian Plateau, the inverse model yields an uplift rate of ~0.2 mm yr⁻¹ after ~5 Ma. This value is consistent with the geomorphic observations of Yıldırım et al. (2011, 2013) who link this uplift with a large-scale constraining bend of the North Anatolian Fault.

The upstream propagation of kinematic waves of knickzones implies that the length of the potential "tape recorder" that a river profile represents is strongly dependent upon longitudinal distance and upon the rate of erosion. It is illuminating to consider the spatial and temporal coverage afforded by any given drainage

network. This coverage can be gauged by calculating the number of nonzero nodes in the model matrix, M, examples of which are shown in Figures 6p–6r. Coverage is excellent for the last \sim 15 Ma. This result implies that the Anatolian drainage network is principally sensitive to late Neogene uplift signals and that significant features of the uplift history which are discussed here have been well resolved. An important exception may be the inner Central Anatolian Plateau where endorheic drainage networks around Lake Tuz are omitted from the drainage inventory thus leaving a gap in coverage that persists to the present day.

4. Magmatism

Abundant Neogene magmatism occurs throughout Anatolia and its existence is often linked with geodynamic evolution. A range of processes have been proposed to generate this magmatism that include: subduction of oceanic lithosphere (Aldanmaz et al., 2000; Ersoy et al., 2012); slab break off, fragmentation, or roll back (e.g., Agostini et al., 2007; Innocenti et al., 2005; Keskin, 2003; Reid et al., 2017; Şengör et al., 2003); extension and delamination of continental lithosphere (e.g., Pearce et al., 1990; Şengör et al., 2008); and elevated asthenospheric temperatures (e.g., Prelević et al., 2012; Şengör et al., 2003). Each of these processes could generate different surface expressions and thus modify the evolving landscape in different ways. It is often remarked that there is a significant transition from calc-alkaline to alkaline magmatism in Neogene times. This transition has been interpreted as a shift from subduction zone to intraplate magmatic generation (e.g., Agostini et al., 2007; Innocenti et al., 2005; Keskin, 2007; Wilson et al., 1997). The timing, nature, and significance of this transition are poorly understood.

To assess the relative importance of subduction and intraplate processes in generating Anatolian magmatism throughout Neogene times, we have compiled an extensive database of published basaltic samples. These samples have been assigned either radiometric or inferred chronostratigraphic ages (Figure 10; supporting information Data Set S3; Adiyaman et al., 2001; Agostini et al., 2007; Aldanmaz et al., 200, 2006, 2015; Alıcı et al., 2001, 2002; Alıcı Şen et al., 2004; Alpaslan, 2007; Altunkayak and Genç, 2008; Arger et al., 2000; Asan and Kurt, 2011; Aslan et al., 2014; Aydin, 2014; Bozkurt et al., 2008; Çoban, 2007; Ekici et al., 2009; Erkül et al., 2005; Ersoy et al., 2008, 2010, 2012, 2014; Gencalioglu-Kusku, 2010; Gencalioglu-Kusku and Geneli, 2011; Grützner et al., 2013; Helvacı et al., 2009; Innocenti et al., 2005; Karaoğlu et al., 2010; Keskin et al., 1998; Kheirkhah et al., 2009; Kürkçüoğlu et al., 2015; Kürüm et al., 2008; Lebedev et al., 2008a, 2008b, 2016a, 2016b; Lustrino et al., 2010, 2012; Neill et al., 2015; Notsu et al., 1995; Oyan et al., 2016; Özdemir et al., 2006, 2013; Parlak et al., 2999, 2001; Paton, 1992; Polat et al., 1997; Prelević et al., 2012, 2015; Reid et al., 2017; Richardson-Bunbury, 1992; Semiz et al., 2015; Seyitoğlu et al., 1997; Temel et al., 2010; Varol et al., 2014; Wilson et al., 1997). Major and trace element analyses and/or isotopic measurements of samples with MgO > 5 wt% are presented. For the sake of completeness, this database includes previously unpublished geochemical analyses from Western Anatolia (Paton, 1992; Richardson-Bunbury, 1992).





4.1. Sample Screening and the Influence of Subduction

The composition of basaltic magmas directly reflects processes involved in their generation and emplacement. Basalts produced by subduction zones are geochemically distinct from those that are derived from either passively or actively upwelling asthenosphere. Above a subducting slab, the mantle wedge is considerably altered by fluids released by dehydration processes within the slab. Thus, the wedge becomes enriched in fluid mobile elements, such as large ion lithophiles (e.g., K, Pb, Ba; Bebout, 2007; Elliott, 2003). The degree of enrichment can be gauged by taking the ratio of these elements with respect to insoluble equivalents which behave similarly during melting processes (e.g., Nb, Ce). Melts derived from subductioninfluenced mantle will therefore have higher values of K/Nb, Pb/Ce, and Ba/Nb than those derived from unenriched mantle.

Subduction processes can also modify the isotopic composition of the mantle wedge. Isotopic ratios are not affected by melting or by fractionation processes and the isotopic composition of a sample will directly reflect that of its source material. During formation of continental crust, the upper mantle became depleted in the incompatible elements Rb and Nd, relative to the more compatible Sr and Sm. Subsequently, decay of radioactive ⁸⁷Rb and ¹⁴⁷Sm resulted in concentration of radiogenic isotopes ⁸⁷Sr within the crust and ¹⁴⁴Nd within the upper mantle. Continental crust therefore has high ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr and low ¹⁴³Nd/¹⁴⁴Nd relative to mantle rocks (Zindler & Hart, 1986). Oceanic sediments are partly derived from the continental crust and so they also acquire elevated ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr and reduced ¹⁴³Nd/¹⁴⁴Nd values. Incorporation of subducted continental sediments into the mantle wedge therefore raises the ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr values and lowers the ¹⁴³Nd/¹⁴⁴Nd values of melts generated in subduction zone settings (Plank & Langmuir, 1998).

We can exploit these elemental and isotopic systems to track the influence of subduction processes in generating Anatolian magmatism (Figure 11). Here we use an online compilation to calculate average values for Ocean Island Basalts (OIB; www.georoc.edu). The mean and standard deviations for OIB samples are shown as gray boxes in Figure 11. There are significant geochemical differences between Western, Central, and Eastern Anatolia.

In Western Anatolia, a dramatic transition is evident at about 10 Ma (Figures 11a–11e). Prior to 10 Ma, all five systems show a wide range of values, much different to those observed for the majority of OIB samples. The values are consistent with subduction zone magmatism. Since 10 Ma, these values are much more uniform and fall within one standard deviation of the mean observed for OIB samples. This transition is well established and has been linked to the development of a "slab window" and to the consequent arrival of uncontaminated, subslab asthenosphere (Agostini et al., 2007; Innocenti et al., 2005).

In Central Anatolia, there are two distinct magmatic phases (Figures 11f–11j). No significant geochemical transition exists between these two phases. The first phase lasted from 20–14 Ma and principally occurred within the Galatia and Erciyes provinces, which are northwest and southeast of the Central Anatolian Plateau, respectively. The second phase lasted from about 5 Ma to the present day and occurs in central and eastern Central Anatolia (e.g., Hasan, Sivas). Throughout Neogene times, the range of values for each of the subduction-sensitive parameters is significantly lower than that observed within subduction zone settings and within Western Anatolia prior to 10 Ma. However, they exhibit a modest subduction zone signature compared with the majority of OIB samples.

Similar values for each of the subduction-sensitive parameters are obtained for Eastern Anatolia where magmatism occurs continuously from ~12 Ma (Figures 11k–11o). The absence of a switch from subductioninfluenced to intraplate-style magmatism in Neogene times for Central and Eastern Anatolia does not agree with the results of several earlier studies (Keskin, 2007; Wilson et al., 1997). In order to mitigate sensitivity to the effects of fractionation and contamination, we only include samples with MgO > 5 wt%. These previously described switches rely upon samples that do not pass our chosen cutoff value of MgO. It is difficult to assess the relevance of these more fractionated samples as a guide to the composition of the mantle source. Our focus is exclusively upon high-MgO basalts, which means that this regional Neogene shift away from subduction-influenced magmatism in Central and Eastern Anatolia is less obvious.

 87 Sr/ 86 Sr and 143 Nd/ 144 Nd are independent systems that can be combined to more closely constrain the nature of the putative source region. Several different mantle reservoirs can be defined within 87 Sr/ 86 Sr- 143 Nd/ 144 Nd space. The isotopic composition of primitive mantle is thought to be that of the bulk Earth, which has a present-day average composition of 87 Sr/ 86 Sr = 0.7047 and 143 Nd/ 144 Nd = 0.51265



Figure 11. Geochemical analyses of basaltic volcanism from Western, Central, and Eastern Anatolia as function of radiometric age. White circles with horizontal bars = radiometrically dated samples $\pm 1\sigma$; black circles with horizontal bars = chronostratigraphically dated samples $\pm 1\sigma$; gray boxes with dashed lines = mean and standard deviation of OIB from GEOROC database (OIB; http://www.georoc.edu). In Western Anatolia, note dramatic change in composition at ~10 Ma.

10.1002/2017GC007251



Figure 12. Isotopic compositions of mafic volcanism from Anatolia. (a) ¹⁴³Nd/¹⁴⁴Nd plotted as function of ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr for Western Anatolia. Circles are colored according to K/Nb ratio; green star = bulk Earth composition (Philpotts & Ague, 2009); labeled gray polygons = mantle reservoirs where HIMU is high- μ mantle, FOZO is the focal zone reservoir; DMM is depleted MORB mantle; EM1/EM2 is enriched mantle 1 and 2 (Zindler & Hart, 1986), CMR is common mantle reservoir (Lustrino & Wilson, 2007), EMS is eastern Mediterranean sediments (Klaver et al., 2015); upper/lower dashed lines = bulk mixing/assimilation and fractional crystallization (AFC) trends between most primitive basalts and average composition of augen gneisses that are representative of Anatolian basement (Gürsu, 2016). AFC trends are calculated using DePaulo (1981) mixing equations. (b) Isotopic plot for Central Anatolia. (c) Isotopic plot for Eastern Anatolia. (d–f) Isotopic plots where circles are colored according to radiometric age.

(Figure 12; Philpotts & Ague, 2009). Fractional melting of primitive mantle raises the ¹⁴³Nd/¹⁴⁴Nd ratio and lowers the ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratio of the source rock. Reservoirs that are thought to represent uncontaminated mantle, including those invoked as potential sources for OIB-style magmatism (e.g., CMR, common mantle reservoir; HIMU, high- μ reservoir; FOZO, focal zone reservoir), lie along a "mantle array" between bulk Earth and a significantly depleted end-member known as Depleted MORB Mantle (DMM; Figure 12; Hart et al., 1992; Lustrino & Wilson, 2007; Stracke et al., 2005; Zindler & Hart, 1986). Fractional melting of primitive mantle also generates enriched products. Examples include reservoirs that are thought to be representative of lower crust (e.g., EM1), upper crust (e.g., EM2), or oceanic sediments (e.g., EMS) have higher values of ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr and lower values of ¹⁴³Nd/¹⁴⁴Nd, relative to the bulk Earth (Klaver et al., 2015; Zindler & Hart, 1986).

In general, Anatolian basaltic rocks lie along a simple binary mixing line between a partially depleted OIBlike source (e.g., CMR, HIMU, FOZO) and an enriched oceanic sediment or upper crustal source (e.g., EM2, EMS; Figure 12). There is no requirement to invoke significant depletion of the mantle source or contamination by lower crustal material. K/Nb, Pb/Ce, and Ba/Nb ratios all correlate well with the relative importance of the EM2/EMS sources, implying that variations in the isotopic composition of Anatolian basaltic rocks are primarily controlled by the degree of contamination by subducted oceanic sediments. At high values of ¹⁴³Nd/¹⁴⁴Nd, there is a systematic shift to higher values of ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr from west to east. To assess the potential influence of contamination by continental crust, we calculate mixing lines between the least enriched basaltic sample and Neoproterozoic basement material from Western Anatolia (augen gneiss; Gürsu, 2016). The observed shift to higher ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr values in Eastern Anatolia is consistent with up to 10% of crustal contamination and probably reflects increasing crustal thickness from west to east.

In Western Anatolia, basaltic samples span the full range of isotopic compositions from OIB-like mantle to oceanic sediments (Figures 12a and 12d). As observed on Figure 11, there is a clear age progression, with the degree of enrichment decreasing towards the present day. The most recent basaltic products fall entirely within the observed ranges of uncontaminated mantle reservoirs and require no enrichment by subduction. In Central and Eastern Anatolia, samples require significantly less enrichment than the older (i.e., pre-10 Ma) samples from Western Anatolia (Figures 12b, 12c, 12e, and 12f). However, unlike the youngest Western Anatolian rocks, all samples are enriched to some degree. This enrichment could reflect residual/continued contamination of the asthenosphere by subduction processes or melting of previously contaminated lithospheric material.

4.2. Mantle Potential Temperatures

It is evident that subduction processes are not responsible for the generation of basaltic magmatism for the last 10 Ma in Western Anatolia and during Neogene times in Central and Eastern Anatolia. Instead, melting of an OIB-like or partially enriched source region could be accounted for by passive upwelling caused by lithospheric extension, by lithospheric delamination or slab fragmentation, or by elevated asthenospheric temperatures. We investigate these potential mechanisms by employing two independent schemes for estimating mantle potential temperature, T_{P} . First, we employ the major element thermobarometer developed by Plank and Forsyth (2016). Second, inverse modeling of rare earth element (REE) concentrations is carried out (McKenzie & O'Nions, 1991). Both of these methods can be used to model high-Mg basalts generated from peridotitic mantle and account for fractionation of olivine. We have identified a subset of samples with MgO > 8.5 wt% that are deemed suitable for modeling and we focus on the youngest, post-10 Ma, phase of magmatism.

It is useful to compare estimates of T_P with the potential temperature of ambient convecting mantle. Estimates of this ambient value vary depending upon methodology (e.g., Dalton et al., 2014; Herzberg et al., 2007; Katsura et al., 2004; Matthews et al., 2016). Throughout this section, we exploit the adiabatic melting models of McKenzie and Bickle (1988) and Katz et al. (2003). The value of T_P required to produce 7.1 km of oceanic crust by adiabatic decompression melting using these parameterizations is 1310–1335°C. We conclude that a suitable reference value of T_P for ambient mantle is ~1320°C.

4.2.1. Major Element Thermobarometry

Building upon the pioneering work of Lee et al. (2009), Plank and Forsyth (2016) developed parameterizations of source temperature and pressure using an extensive compilation of experimental melt equilibration data sets. Their approach exploits the fact that partitioning of major elements during melting is dependent on pressure and temperature. First, observed major element compositions of high-Mg basalts are corrected for olivine fractionation to estimate primary melt compositions. Olivine in equilibrium with melt is incrementally added until melt compositions reach equilibrium with mantle that has assumed olivine forsterite content. For each of the basaltic samples, we back-calculate to an Mg number of the melt given by Mg#= Mg/Mg+Fe=0.9 in olivine. This value of Mg# is considered to be a reasonable average value for partially depleted mantle (Lee et al., 2009). This correction, together with the pressure and temperature calculations, are also dependent upon the ratio of Fe³⁺ to Fe²⁺, and upon the water content of the melt.

Once primary melt compositions have been estimated, temperature, *T*, and pressure, *P*, are calculated using a parameterization so that

$$T(K) = 1264.5 + 7.85(Mg_4Si_2O_8) + \frac{8545}{Si_4O_8} - 5.96(AI_{16.3}O_8) - \Delta T_{H_2O} - \Delta T_{CO_2},$$
(11)

and

$$D = \frac{\ln(Si_4O_8) - 4.045 + 0.0114(Fe_4Si_2O_8) + 0.00052(Ca_4Si_2O_8)^2 + 0.0024(Mg_4Si_2O_8)}{-336.37^{-1} + 0.00077^{1/2}},$$
(12)

where

F



Figure 13. K/Nb as a function of major elements for Anatolian basalts where Mg > 8.5 wt%. (a) K/Nb ratio plotted as function of SiO₂. Dashed line indicates K/Nb = 500. (b) K/Nb ratio plotted as function of Al₂O₃. (c) K/Nb ratio plotted as function of MgO. (d) K/Nb ratio plotted as function of FeO_t. (e) K/Nb ratio plotted as function of CaO. (f) K/Nb ratio plotted as function of Na₂O. Major element concentrations are corrected for olivine fractionation in order to estimate primary melt compositions (Lee et al., 2009).

$$\Delta T_{\rm H_2O} = 40.4(\rm H_2O) - 2.97(\rm H_2O)^2 + 0.0761(\rm H_2O)^3.$$
(13)

Compositional components are reported in mole%, as defined by Lee et al. (2009), with the exception of H_2O which is reported in wt%. A correction is applied to any samples which initially give equilibrium pressures in excess of 2 GPa in order to account for the effects of undersaturated CO_2 . Thus,

if
$$P > 2.0 \text{ GPa}$$
, then $\Delta T_{\text{CO}_2} = \frac{\text{SiO}_2 - 50.3}{0.128}$, else $\Delta T_{\text{CO}_2} = 0$, (14)

where SiO_2 is in wt%. For these particular samples, pressure is then recalculated using the revised temperature.

Temperature and pressure estimates clearly depend upon variations in major elemental concentrations. Changes in melt composition can also arise as a result of heterogeneities in source composition and mineralogy. We have already highlighted the fact that K/Nb can be used as a proxy for enrichment by subduction processes across Anatolia. Two distinct populations are identified in major element-K/Nb space (Figure 13). For K/Nb values greater than ~500, samples generally shift to higher values of SiO₂, Al₂O₃, and CaO, and to lower values of MgO and FeO_t (i.e., combined FeO and Fe₂O₃). The magnitudes of these shifts are unlikely to be caused by subduction enrichment. Rather, they probably reflect distinct equilibration conditions for both populations. These trends act consistently to reduce the temperature and pressure estimates for high K/Nb samples. Therefore, when estimating mantle potential temperatures beneath Anatolia, these two populations are separately treated.

Thermobarometric calculations for Western, Central, and Eastern Anatolia are shown in Figure 14. These results are strongly dependent upon the value of $Fe^{3+}/\Sigma Fe$, which is controlled by oxidation state, and



Figure 14. Thermobarometric calculations. (a) Temperature plotted as function of depth/pressure. Colored circles = equilibration pressures and temperature estimates determined for mafic samples (MgO > 8.5 wt%) from Western Anatolia with K/Nb < 500 using Fe³⁺/ Σ Fe=0.2 and H₂O/Ce=200; black line = anhydrous solidus; gray line = best-fit melt pathway; dashed gray lines = minimum and maximum melt pathways; dotted gray lines = adiabatic gradients corresponding to loci of intersection between melt pathways and anhydrous solidus (Katz et al., 2003); optimal value of potential temperature, T_p, given in top right-hand corner. (b) Estimates for Central Anatolia. Triangles = samples with K/Nb > 500. (c) Estimates for Eastern Anatolia. (d–f) Distribution of potential temperatures obtained by varying Fe³⁺/ Σ Fe and H₂O/Ce. In each case, white circle = values used for thermobarometric calculations shown in Figures 14a–14c.

upon the assumed water content. In Anatolia, direct measurements of $Fe^{3+}/\Sigma Fe$ and of water concentrations within melts are scarce. Globally, it is observed that $Fe^{3+}/\Sigma Fe$ varies between 0.1 for mid-ocean ridge basalts (MORB) and 0.3 for arc settings (Brounce et al., 2014; Cottrell & Kelley, 2011). Average estimates for the Basin and Range province of western North America are ~0.2 (Plank & Forsyth, 2016). We assume that this value is appropriate for Anatolia, given the tectonic similarities of these regions—a combination of recently active subduction processes and subsequent generation of basaltic melts with OIB affinities (Fitton et al., 1991; Kempton et al., 1991). H₂O is known to scale with Ce for MORB samples so that H₂O/Ce=200± 100 (Dixon et al., 2002; Michael, 1995). The results shown in Figures 14a–14c assume that Fe³⁺/ Σ Fe=0.2 and that H₂O/Ce=200.

In order to relate these results to mantle potential temperature, T_P , we have fitted adiabatic decompression melting paths to calculated equilibration temperatures and pressures. Melting paths are determined using the anhydrous parameterization of Katz et al. (2003), assuming a modal clinopyroxene value of 0.15. The misfit between melting paths and calculated equilibration conditions is calculated using a least squares approach, where temperature and pressure are normalized by the respective uncertainties $\pm 39^{\circ}$ C and ± 0.24 GPa, quoted by Plank and Forsyth (2016).

Two distinct magmatic populations exist in Central and Eastern Anatolia: calculated equilibration pressures and temperatures for high K/Nb samples are consistently colder and shallower than those for low K/Nb samples. The latter samples lie along regionally consistent melt paths that require varying mantle potential temperatures. Temperatures are highest in Eastern Anatolia and decrease toward the west. Best fit melting paths for low K/Nb samples that lie above the solidus, along with a range of paths that can equally fit these date, are shown in Figures 14a–14c. These melting paths correspond to mantle potential temperatures of $T_P = 1350^{+30\circ}_{-30}$ C for Western Anatolia, $T_P = 1380^{+40\circ}_{-40}$ C for Central Anatolia, and $T_P = 1400^{+90\circ}_{-40}$ C for Eastern Anatolia. In general, the top of the melting column is at about 50 km depth.

The quoted upper and lower bounds correspond to values of T_P that yield misfit values that are less than twice those at the global minima. Average melt fractions along these melting paths are $4.1 \pm 2.0\%$, $6.8 \pm 2.3\%$, and $7.6 \pm 5.0\%$ for Western, Central, and Eastern Anatolia, respectively. In contrast, the high K/ Nb population does not lie along consistent melt paths. Instead, it clusters near the solidus at depths shallower than 50 km. We suggest that the samples from this population probably reflect melting of, or contamination by, lithospheric material enriched during earlier episodes of subduction. This more complicated evolution means that fitting adiabatic decompression melting paths is not reasonable.

To assess the influence of uncertainties in water content and oxidation state, we repeated the modeling procedure for the full range of globally observed values. The revised results for each region are shown in Figures 14d–14f. Higher values of Fe³⁺/ Σ Fe and H₂O/Ce tend to reduce our estimates of potential temperatures. For Western Anatolia, calculated temperatures through much of the parameter space are at or below that of ambient mantle. For the chosen values of Fe³⁺/ Σ Fe=0.2 and H₂O/Ce=200, the estimated potential temperature is within the range of uncertainty error of that for ambient mantle. For Central Anatolia, our estimates of mantle potential temperature approach those for ambient mantle for the highest values Fe³⁺/ Σ Fe and H₂O/Ce. For Eastern Anatolia, excess temperatures are required for all reasonable values of Fe³⁺/ Σ Fe and H₂O/Ce.

4.2.2. Inverse Modeling of REE Concentrations

Partitioning of REEs is sensitive to both the depth and degree of melting. During mantle melting, the REEs are incompatible so that their abundance is reduced with increasing melt fraction. Compatibility of the heavy REEs is dependent upon the aluminous phase that is present. Since there is a pressure-dependent transition from spinel to garnet in the uppermost mantle, the relative abundance of light and heavy REEs is dependent upon the depth of melting. Heavy REEs are more compatible in garnet than in spinel so that melting of garnet-bearing peridotite will lead to a relative depletion of these elements. McKenzie and O'Nions (1991) developed the INVMEL algorithm for adiabatic decompression of peridotite, which exploits these relationships by inverting REE distributions in order to calculate melt fraction as a function of depth.

The INVMEL scheme inverts observed REE concentrations by summing fractional melts over incremental depths to determine cumulative melt fraction as a function of depth. Mantle potential temperature is then estimated by comparing resultant melt-fraction-with-depth distributions to parameterized mantle adiabats. Spinel-garnet transition-zone depths are fixed in advance. Here, we have chosen a transition at 63–72 km, in agreement with the thermodynamic modeling results of Jennings and Holland (2015). The lower limit of their transition zone has been increased from 68.1 to 72 km in order to stabilize the inversion procedure. We estimate source compositions for each inversion run by mixing components of depleted (i.e., ϵ Nd=10) and primitive (i.e., ϵ Nd=0) mantle compositions to match the observed average value of ϵ Nd for each



Figure 15. Inverse modeling of REE distributions. (a) Western Anatolia. Black circles with error bars = average observed concentrations of REEs normalized with respect to mixed mantle source $\pm 1\sigma$; blue line = best-fitting calculated concentrations obtained by inverse modeling. (b) Black circles = trace element concentrations; blue line = predicted concentrations; (c) cumulative melt fraction, *F*, as function of depth. Dashed blue line = distribution of *F* obtained using calculated concentrations of REEs shown in Figure 15a; solid blue line = same corrected for fractionation of olivine; dashed gray line = starting distribution of *F*; shaded box = lithosphere; vertical dotted lines = spinel-garnet (Sp-Gt) transition zone; solid labeled lines = potential temperature adiabats (McKenzie & Bickle, 1988). (d–f) Central Anatolia.

sample suite (see supporting information Data set S3 for further details; McKenzie & O'Nions, 1991). Partition coefficients are calculated using the parameterization of Blundy and Wood (2003). Melt paths are specified at 3 km depth increments and melting is assumed to cease at the base of the lithospheric column. The inverse model iteratively calculates melt compositions for different melt-fraction-with-depth curves. The root-mean-square misfit between calculated and observed compositions is minimized using Powell's conjugate gradient search algorithm (Press et al., 1992). Lithospheric thickness and the starting melt-fraction-with-depth output melt-fraction-with-depth curve.

Once a best-fitting curve of melt fraction as a function of depth has been determined, a forward model is produced for the complete element suite in order to test the extent to which the optimal result matches major and other trace element observations. Olivine fractionation does not significantly affect REE concentrations within the melt. It does, however, contribute to variations in Mg and Fe concentrations, which results in a disparity between observed and modeled values of these elements. The amount of olivine

addition required to explain this disparity is straightforward to calculate. The final melt fraction is given by 1/(1-F), where *F* is the estimated weight fraction of crystallized material. Starting models, bestfit models, and fractionation-corrected models are all presented in Figure 15. Inverse modeling of enriched samples (i.e., K/Nb > 500) using simple melting models results in larger misfits to observed trace element concentrations. Acceptable fits can only be achieved with a strongly modified mantle source, the characterization of which introduces a significant degree of uncertainty. We therefore omit samples with K/Nb > 500.

In Western Anatolia, very low melt fractions (i.e., < 2%) are required to replicate high REE concentrations. This melting must occur within both the garnet and spinel stability fields. Consequently, the resultant melting path spans a broad depth range and does not track a calculated adiabat. Optimal fits to observed REE concentrations are achieved by using a lithospheric thickness of 57 km. At these depths, low melt fractions require potential temperatures of less than ~1340°C (Figures 15a–15c). Observed REE concentrations decrease eastward, so that calculated melt fractions increase to 3.1% for Central and 4.7% for Eastern Anatolia. The bestfitting lithospheric thicknesses are 61 and 62 km, respectively. At shallow depths, melting paths approximately follow adiabats that correspond to mantle potential temperatures of ~1355°C and ~1370°C (Figures 15d–15i).

In all three regions, the majority of observed REE and trace element concentrations can be accurately matched. In Central and Eastern Anatolia, the forward model tends to overpredict concentrations of Cs, Rb and Ba. These elements are highly soluble and so any discrepancies may reflect leaching during the weathering process. REE concentrations are far less sensitive to the weathering process, which suggests that the results of inverse modeling are less affected. The forward model also consistently underpredicts concentrations of Ta and Nb, possibly as a consequence of uncertainties in partition coefficients and/or source composition for these particular elements. In general, calculated melting paths exhibit long, low melt-fraction tails because observed REE concentrations require small-degree melting within the garnet stability field before the onset of adiabatic decompression at shallower depths. Similar low melt-fraction tails have been reported for the Potrillo Volcanic Field of North America and for the Galápagos Islands (Gibson & Geist, 2010; Thompson et al., 2005).

4.2.3. Magmatic Insights

Both modeling techniques show that there is an asthenospheric temperature gradient across Anatolia. Temperatures increase by \sim 50°C from west to east and the top of the melting column for low K/Nb samples lies at a depth of about 60 km. Although regional patterns in melt fraction and potential temperature estimates from both approaches generally agree, there are systematic differences in their optimal values. Melt fractions and temperatures estimated using the Plank and Forsyth (2016) parameterization are consistently 2–4% and 10–30°C higher than those calculated using the INVMEL algorithm. We acknowledge that both techniques are subject to different uncertainties and approximations that can affect estimates of melt fraction and mantle T_{p} .

A general assumption in both cases is that melts are derived from unenriched Iherzolitic mantle. Anatolia has undergone a complex and protracted geodynamic history. Long-lived subduction throughout closure of the Neotethys Ocean has probably significantly altered the composition of the underlying mantle, as is manifest, for example, by compositions of early to middle Miocene magmatic rocks exposed in Western Anatolia. Our analysis suggests that after ~10 Ma, subduction processes have had a limited influence on basaltic compositions with the exception of some partially enriched samples that are thought to be derived from melting of, or contamination by, enriched lithospheric material. By excluding samples with values of K/Nb > 500, we are confident that the assumed mantle composition is a reasonable one. However, it is important to note that concentrations of REEs are more sensitive to source enrichment than major elements due to their lower concentrations. For inverse modeling, an underestimation of REE concentrations within the source region results in underprediction of melt fraction and asthenospheric T_{P} . This underprediction probably accounts for at least some of the difference between the results of major element thermobarometry and REE inverse modeling.

Our methods for estimating mantle T_P generally rely on anhydrous melting models. In reality, source materials are likely to contain at least a small amount of water. Source water concentrations are not well known but, given relatively low concentrations within the melts ($\sim 1.5 \pm 0.8$ wt%), they are unlikely to be greater than $\sim 0.1 \pm 0.05$ wt% for H₂O/Ce=200 at 5% melting. This value is consistent with estimates from OIBs and is at the low end of estimates for a subduction-modified mantle wedge (i.e., ~ 0.075 wt% and 0.1–1 wt%,

respectively; Dixon et al., 2002; Karato, 2011). It is possible to incorporate hydrous melting into parameterizations of mantle melting (e.g., Ghiorso et al., 2002; Hirschmann, 2010; Katz et al., 2003; Kelley et al., 2010; Langmuir et al., 2006). The addition of water shifts the solidus to greater depths. We note that hydrous melting parameterizations vary significantly and are often only constrained by experimental data limited to pressures equivalent to depths < 60 km (Katz et al., 2003). Due to these significant uncertainties in the parameterization of hydrous melting, we prefer to focus upon anhydrous melting models.

We require melting models to relate estimates of melt equilibration pressure and temperature derived from major element thermobarometry to T_{ρ} . Incorporating hydrous melting would require higher T_{ρ} melt paths to fit the melt equilibration data. If there are significant amounts of water in the source region, our estimates of T_{ρ} are minima. Using the hydrous melting model of Katz et al. (2003) with 0.1 wt% of water in would result in T_{ρ} estimates that are up to ~40°C hotter. Due to the concave-upward shape of the solidus, this effect becomes greater as temperature increases. In this way, the observed gradient of potential temperature across Anatolia would be steepened by incorporating hydrous melting. Unlike the major element parametrization, the INVMEL algorithm explicitly incorporates a melting model as part of the calculation. In this case, addition of water will lower the calculated value of T_{ρ} since melting will occur deeper and at lower temperatures. Nonetheless, water is extremely incompatible and readily enters the melt so that its supply is rapidly diminished. The cooling effect is therefore greater at lower melt fractions, which means that the observed gradient of T_{ρ} across Anatolia is likely to be preserved by incorporating hydrous melting.

A potential challenge in relating melt equilibration conditions to asthenospheric potential temperature identified by Plank and Forsyth (2016) concerns the pooling and conductive cooling of melts at the base of the lithosphere. These authors have invoked this phenomenon to account for melt equilibration estimates at locations from western North America that lie parallel to the solidus. Distributions of our melt equilibration estimates for low K/Nb samples are significantly steeper than the solidus and can be approximated by adiabatic decompression melting paths. Therefore, there is no requirement to invoke conductive cooling. If a degree of conductive cooling has affected the estimated melt equilibration conditions, our T_{ρ} estimates would be minima. In contrast, the majority of high K/Nb samples presented here do cluster close to the solidus at depths < 50 km (i.e., shallower than the top of the low K/Nb distribution and shallower than the top of the melting column estimated from REE inverse modeling). Their distribution is more consistent with the effects of conductive cooling at the base of the lithosphere. This observation is also consistent with our interpretation that enrichment derives from the melting of, or contamination by, an enriched lithosphere.

It is conceivable that geographical variations in source composition and oxidation state could influence the robustness of the observed gradient in T_P across Anatolia. Although source composition is allowed to vary regionally for REE inverse modeling, we have kept all other parameters in our analyses fixed. Reconciling the estimated temperature differences would require more extreme variations in these parameters. Given similarities in isotopic and elemental compositions for high-Mg samples from the region, such large variations are less likely. We conclude that the estimated temperature gradient is a robust reflection of the asthenospheric temperature pattern beneath Anatolia.

In their study of the Hasan basalts from Central Anatolia, Reid et al. (2017) also estimated mantle potential temperatures using the Plank and Forsyth (2016) thermobarometer. They conclude that melt equilibration beneath the Hasan field was significantly shallower and colder than to the west and east. Analysis of our more comprehensive database reproduces this result. However, the majority of Hasan samples have K/Nb values that exceed 500 which places them within the enriched population identified above. Elevated potential temperatures are estimated for unenriched samples from the nearby Sivas and Erciyes lava fields. We infer that the Hasan basalts are not necessarily representative of asthenospheric melting beneath Central Anatolia. Instead, our results imply that asthenospheric temperatures beneath Central Anatolia are indeed anomalously elevated.

Reid et al. (2017) also report elevated temperatures across Western and Eastern Anatolia. For Eastern Anatolia, their maximum temperature estimates range from 1380 to 1430°C, in broad agreement with our results. Notably, they do not recover a westward temperature decrease. Instead, their maximum temperature estimates of ~1450°C occur in Western Anatolia where our estimates are much closer to those of ambient mantle. Minor disparities in melt equilibration estimates can arise due to differences in chosen parameters. Significantly, Reid et al. (2017) chose to correct for the effects of latent heat by employing the scheme

described by Putirka et al. (2007). This scheme relies on an estimate of melt fraction that carries a large degree of uncertainty. Thus high melt fraction estimates of ~10% for Western Anatolia require a significant latent heat correction (i.e., \sim +70°C). Our procedure of fitting melting paths through suites of melt equilibration estimates combined with REE inverse modeling yields much lower estimates of melt fraction for Western Anatolia (i.e., \sim 4 and \sim 2%, respectively), and thus cooler temperatures. Applying the latent heat correction of Putirka et al. (2007) to these lower melt fraction estimates would also result in significantly cooler calculated temperatures, accounting for most of the difference between our results and those of Reid et al. (2017). In summary, we believe that a wealth of disparate evidence supports the presence of a westward decrease in asthenospheric potential temperature across Anatolia.

5. Constraints from Tomographic Models

Earthquake tomographic models provide a useful independent constraint on the thermal structure of the subplate mantle because seismic wave speeds, especially those of shear waves, are strongly dependent on temperature. Several studies have built tomographic models of the mantle beneath Anatolia using a range of data sets and techniques (e.g., Bakırcı et al., 2012; Chamorro et al., 2014; Govers & Fichtner, 2016; Salaün et al., 2012; Skolbeltsyn et al., 2014). In Figure 16, we summarize results from two studies: a regional *P* wave model (Biryol et al., 2011); and part of a global upper mantle shear wave model (Priestley & McKenzie, 2013). Both models suggest that mantle material that lies immediately beneath the lithospheric plate (i.e., shallower than \sim 250 km) is anomalously slow. The relative sizes of these anomalies are largest beneath Eastern Anatolia and decrease westward. Beneath a depth of 250 km, a continuous fast anomaly extends across most of Anatolia, which is interpreted as subducted African lithosphere. This anomaly is clearest in the *P* wave model, which is particularly sensitive to cold temperatures.



Figure 16. (a) Regional earthquake tomographic model of Anatolia based upon *P* wave arrivals (Biryol et al., 2011). (b) East-west vertical slice at 39°N through model shown in Figure 16a. Black line with gray band = topographic profile and crustal thickness profile from EPcrust reference model of Molinari and Morelli (2011). (c) Surface wave tomographic model (Priestley & McKenzie, 2013). (d) East-west vertical slice.

10.1002/2017GC007251

AGU Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems



Figure 17. (a) Map of Anatolia showing potential temperatures calculated from shear wave velocity model of Priestley and McKenzie (2013) using parametrization of Yamauchi and Takei (2016) and averaged between depths of 100 and 200 km. Circles/triangles = potential temperature estimates determined from major element thermobarometry for individual basaltic samples with K/Nb < 500 and > 500, respectively. (b) Vertical slice through potential temperature model. Pair of dashed lines = depth range over which average T_P was estimated. Black line with gray band = crust profile from EPcrust reference model of Molinari and Morelli (2011). (c) Relationship between estimates of potential temperature from geochemical and seismological techniques. Blue/green/red squares and circles = potential temperature estimates for Western/Central/Eastern Anatolia from inverse modeling of REE concentrations and major element thermobarometry, respectively (McKenzie & O'Nions, 1991; Plank & Forsyth, 2016).

Yamauchi and Takei (2016) present a parameterization that enables shear wave velocities to be converted into temperature. Their approach builds upon the earlier work of Priestley and McKenzie (2013). Here, we apply this revised parameterization to the upper mantle shear wave model of Priestley and McKenzie (2013). The eastward decrease in shear wave velocity is reflected in the resulting T_{ρ} model (Figures 17a and 17b). Note that at depths shallower than 100 km, tomographic models are likely to be affected by artifacts related to uncertainties in crustal structure (Priestley & McKenzie, 2013). Beneath 100 km, a longwavelength high-temperature feature is recovered beneath Eastern Anatolia, extending to depths of ~200 km and with asthenospheric potential temperatures up to ~1400°C. The magnitude and extent of this high-temperature feature decrease westward.

In Figure 17c, average potential temperatures between depths of 100 and 200 km that have been calculated from the shear wave velocity model are compared with temperatures obtained by both petrologic approaches. T_P estimates for each region are calculated by averaging T_P estimates at locations with petrological constraints. Although uncertainties in seismologic T_P estimates are quoted in terms of standard deviations of mean values, we must emphasize that larger, but less easily quantifiable, uncertainties are associated with the tomographic model and with the temperature parametrization. There is a reasonable correlation between potential temperatures estimated using petrological and seismological approaches. This agreement strengthens the notion that subplate mantle temperatures are highest beneath Eastern Anatolia and decrease westward.

6. Discussion

We have exploited a range of diverse approaches to investigate the causes of Neogene uplift and magmatism across Anatolia. A compilation of published receiver function analyses is used to investigate the isostatic relationship between crustal thickness and elevation for different lithospheric thicknesses and densities. One plausible interpretation is that post-Miocene regional uplift of 1–2 km is generated by some combination of lithospheric thinning/loss of \sim 70–125 km and/or asthenospheric temperature anomalies. Admittance analysis of topographic and gravity data sets indicates that, at the longest wavelengths, Anatolian topography is not supported by flexural isostasy.

Marine sedimentary rocks of Miocene age that are patchily distributed across Anatolia suggest that significant uplift has occurred in Neogene times. Longitudinal river profiles that drain the Central and East Anatolian Plateaux contain prominent knickzones that indirectly record this phase of regional uplift. Calibrated inverse modeling of these profiles suggests that uplift began at about 20 Ma in Eastern Anatolia and subsequently propagated westward. Uplift rates across Anatolia increased throughout Neogene times to reach peak values of 0.2–0.4 mm yr⁻¹, coinciding with the onset of OIB-style magmatism. Modeling of the geochemistry of these basalts indicates that asthenospheric temperatures vary across the region: the highest estimates of up to 1400°C are for Eastern Anatolia, decreasing toward Western Anatolia. These modeling results also imply that lithospheric thickness is ~60 km beneath volcanic regions. It is unclear whether whether such low lithospheric thicknesses occur throughout Anatolia. Our results have significant implications for the geodynamical evolution of this region.

Occurrence of a Miocene transition from calc-alkaline to alkaline magmatism within Western Anatolia is well established. Recently, several authors have linked this transition to the opening of a "slab window" within the subducting African lithosphere (Agostini et al., 2007; Innocenti et al., 2005; Klaver et al., 2016). Such a window is purported to have been imaged in several tomographic models (Biryol et al., 2011; Govers & Fichtner, 2016). Geochemical analyses confirm a clear shift from arc to intraplate-style magmatism, culminating at about 10 Ma. After this time, basaltic compositions are similar to those derived from an unenriched mantle source. Major element thermobarometric calculations and inverse modeling of REEs suggest low degree decompression melting at approximately ambient mantle temperatures. Both sets of observations are consistent with passive upwelling of subslab asthenospheric mantle, possibly in response to slab fragmentation.

Further east, there is little evidence for subduction-driven magmatism. Rather, for Central Anatolia, magmas with intraplate to mildly enriched compositions were produced during two distinct Neogene phases. Magmatic rocks with similar compositions occur in Eastern Anatolia after ~10 Ma. Thermobarometric estimates of melting conditions indicate that partially enriched magmas equilibrated at cool temperatures and at depths within the lithospheric mantle. In contrast, magmas with K/Nb ratios of less than 500 have equilibrated at greater depths and require elevated asthenospheric temperatures. As noted previously, onset of more recent phases of magmatism in both Central and Eastern Anatolia postdate initiation of regional uplift by ~10 Ma. These results suggest that mantle underlying Central and particularly Eastern Anatolia is anomalously hot with a composition similar to that of OIB source reservoirs.

Consistent patterns linking onset of uplift and magmatism together with the magnitude of uplift and size of temperature excess all point toward a common cause. In Eastern Anatolia, several authors invoke slab break off following collision of Arabia and Eurasia (Keskin, 2003; Lebedev et al., 2016b; Neill et al., 2015; Şengör et al., 2003). Detachment of subducting African lithosphere at ~10 Ma has also been proposed. This suggestion is based on the observed transition from subduction-related (i.e., calc-alkaline) to intraplate-style magmatism for low MgO basaltic rocks (e.g., Faccenna et al., 2014; Keskin, 2003, 2007). However, there is little evidence for this transition in the database of high-Mg basaltic rocks. Nonetheless, this detachment model is supported by observations of a continuous high velocity anomaly at transition zone depths beneath Anatolia, interpreted as remnant subducted lithosphere (Figure 16; e.g., Biryol et al., 2011). Therefore, while slab break off has probably occurred, its timing and nature are not well constrained by the geochemistry of Neogene basaltic magmatism (Bartol & Govers, 2014; Göğüş & Psyklywec, 2008). In this case, uplift and magmatism are thought to have been caused by upwelling of buoyant asthenospheric mantle, triggered in turn by removal of dense lithospheric material. However, this mechanism alone will not generate elevated

10.1002/2017GC007251

AGU Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems



Figure 18. Map of region encompassing Anatolia showing long-wavelength (i.e., 730–13,000 km) free-air gravity anomalies. Red/black/blue contours = positive/zero/negative GGM03 anomalies plotted every 25 mGal (Tapley et al., 2007); residual depth scale assumes Z = 30 mGal km⁻¹; colored circles and upward/downward-pointing triangles = estimates and lower/upper bounds of observed oceanic residual depths (Hoggard et al., 2017); colored big/small diamonds = potential temperature estimates from forward/inverse modeling of major and REEs, respectively (note that big dashed diamonds represent average values for Eastern/Central/Western Anatolia); irregular network of black lines = river drainage pattern.

asthenospheric temperatures. We suggest that the effects of slab detachment and/or delamination are enhanced and magnified by replacement of lithospheric material with anomalously hot asthenospheric mantle. Indeed, a range of laboratory and numerical experiments suggest that asthenospheric thermal anomalies may drive significant loss of lithospheric material by thermal erosion (e.g., Davies, 1994; Olsen et al., 1988).

Following onset of uplift in Eastern Anatolia, uplift propagated westward, commencing across Central Anatolia by about 15 Ma. It has been proposed that delamination of the Cyprean slab, following a period of flat subduction, might account for observed uplift and magmatism across Central Anatolia (Bartol & Govers, 2014; Govers & Fichtner, 2016). Magmatism in the vicinity of Sivas and Erciyes requires elevated mantle potential temperatures, similar to observations from Eastern Anatolia. Our modeled results are consistent with lateral propagation of hot asthenospheric material from Eastern to Central Anatolia. Such an interpretation is supported by seismic tomographic images that reveal a continuous low velocity anomaly beneath Eastern and Central Anatolia (Figure 16; Biryol et al., 2011; Priestley & McKenzie, 2013).

Along the southern edge of the Central Anatolian Plateau, emergent marine sedimentary rocks and disequilibrated river profiles both attest to elevated regional uplift rates during the last 10 Ma (Schildgen et al., 2014). This area overlies a "slab tear" identified by Biryol et al. (2011). The nearby Hasan volcanic field is anomalous since the composition of its basalts suggests that melting is occurring at shallow depths with cool temperatures, possibly reflecting a combination of conductive cooling and contamination at the base of the lithosphere. Numerical modeling indicates that uplift rates of 0.1–0.8 mm yr⁻¹ and extension of the overriding plate can occur following break off of subducting slabs, consistent with regional uplift histories we obtained by inverse modeling of drainage networks (Duretz et al., 2011; van Hunen & Allen, 2011). It is therefore conceivable that opening of a slab window is driving additional uplift due to uncoupling of the slab and additional melting caused by subsequent lithospheric stretching with passive asthenospheric upwelling. What is the cause of the elevated asthenospheric temperatures inferred beneath Central and Eastern Anatolia? Recent global studies of oceanic residual depths and their correlation with a range of geophysical and geologic observations suggest that significant thermal anomalies are a common feature of the subplate asthenosphere (Hoggard et al., 2016, 2017). Faccenna et al. (2013) invoke material upwelling beneath Afar and spreading laterally beneath Arabia and Anatolia to explain a range of regional observations. Wilson et al. (2014) analyze drainage networks and patterns of basaltic magmatism in Arabia, and describe a northward progression of uplift and magmatism throughout Cenozoic times consistent with the movement of asthenospheric thermal anomalies. Lateral displacement of hot plume material from beneath Afar would therefore appear to be a plausible mechanism for generating elevated asthenospheric temperatures beneath Anatolia.

It is likely that growth of Anatolian Plateaux has exerted a profound influence on its tectonic evolution. Several recent studies have suggested that mantle flow drives lithospheric deformation, by exerting tractions on the base of the lithosphere (Faccenna & Becker, 2010; Faccenna et al., 2013). However, England et al. (2016) suggest that much of the observed modern crustal deformation could instead be generated by gradients in gravitational potential energy. They argue that the juxtaposition of elevated topography across the East Anatolian Plateau and depressed bathymetry south of the Hellenic trench drives westward motion of crustal material. Figure 18 shows residual depth measurements, temperature estimates, and inferred dynamic topography estimated from long-wavelength free-air gravity anomalies using an admittance value of $Z = 30 \text{ mGal km}^{-1}$ (Hoggard et al., 2017). In the Eastern Mediterranean Sea, there is a substantial negative residual depth anomaly, which we interpret as dynamic drawdown of 1–2 km. The Black Sea is similarly drawn down with evidence for an east-west gradient. Anatolia itself is a westward-protruding finger of continental lithosphere, which is characterized by inferred dynamic uplift of 1–2 km supported by a combination of thin lithosphere and elevated asthenospheric potential temperatures that decrease from east to west. Finally, the fluvial drainage network is radially draped around positive long-wavelength gravity anomalies. Thus, it appears that mantle convective processes produce the dramatic gradients of gravitational potential energy that modulate lithospheric deformation without the requirement for significant tractions along the base of the lithospheric plate.

7. Conclusions

We have combined geomorphic and geochemical observations to investigate the geodynamic evolution of Anatolia. Analysis of Anatolian drainage networks provides additional insights into the spatial and temporal evolution of regional epeirogeny. The bulk of Anatolia became emergent in Neogene times when regional uplift initiated in the east and propagated westward. This uplift pattern cannot be explained by the geometry of active deformation, by crustal thickness variations, or by flexural processes. Basaltic magmatism that occurred over the last 10 Ma is of OIB affinity and requires melting of anomalously hot asthenospheric mantle beneath the high plateaux.

Slab detachment/fragmentation and lithospheric delamination are often invoked to account for Neogene uplift and magmatism across Anatolia. Our results suggest that asthenospheric thermal anomalies play a significant role in generating regional uplift and basaltic magmatism. Quantitative estimates of regional uplift rates and asthenospheric temperatures should provide useful constraints for numerical simulations of Anatolia's geodynamic evolution.

References

- Adiyaman, O., Chorowicz, J., Arnaud, O. N., Gündogdu, M. N., & Gourgaud, A. (2001). Late Cenozoic tectonics and volcanism along the North Anatolian Fault: New structural and geochemical data. *Tectonophysics*, 338, 135–165.
- Agostini, S., Doglioni, C., Innocenti, F., Manetti, P., Tonarini, S., & Savasçin, M. (2007). The transition from subduction-related to intraplate Neogene magmatism in the Western Anatolia and Aegean area. In L. Beccaluva, G. Bianchini, & M. Wilson (Eds.), *Cenzozoic volcanism in the Mediterranean Area* (Special Paper 418; pp. 1–15). Boulder, CO: The Geological Society of America. https://doi.org/10.1130/2007. 2418(01)
- Akbayram, K., Sorlien, C. C., & Okay, A. I. (2016). Evidence for a minimum 52±1 km of total offset along the northern branch of the North Anatolian Fault in northwest Turkey. *Tectonophysics*, 668–669, 35–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2015.11.026
- Aktuğ, B., Nocquet, J. M., Cingöz, A., Parsons, B., Erkan, Y., England, P., . . . Tekgül, A. (2009). Deformation of western Turkey from a combination of permanent and campaign GPS data: Limits to block-like behavior. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 114, B10404. https://doi.org/ 10.1029/2008JB006000

Acknowledgments

This project is supported by Shell Research. We thank G. L. Farmer, T. Plank and an anonymous reviewer for their careful and constructive comments. We are grateful to B. Birvol, L. Cowton, I. Frame, L. Kennan, M. Klöcking, D. Lyness, J. Maclennan, F. Richards, O. Shorttle, S. Stephenson, and D. Smit for their help. D. McKenzie generously provided software for calculating admittance and REE concentrations. All data used are publicly available, either via the references cited or in Data Sets S1-S3 (supporting information and in the University of Cambridge online repository, doi.org/10.17863/ CAM.17105). Figures were prepared using Generic Mapping Tools software (Wessel & Smith, 1991). Department of Earth Sciences contribution esc.4081.

Aktuğ, B., Parmaksiz, E., Kurt, M., Lenk, O., Kiliçoğlu, A., Gürdal, M. A., & Özdemir, S. (2013). Deformation of central anatolia: GPS implications. *Journal of Geodynamics*, 67, 78–96. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jog.2012.05.008

- Aldanmaz, E., Pearce, J. A., Thirwall, M. F., & Mitchell, J. G. (2000). Petrogenetic evolution of late Cenozoic, post-collision volcanism in western Anatolia, Turkey. Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 102, 67–95.
- Aldanmaz, E., Pickard, M., Meisel, T., Altunkaynak, C., Sayit, K., Şen, P., Hanan, B. B., & Furman, T. (2015). Source components and magmatic processes in the genesis of Miocene to Quaternary lavas in western Turkey: Constraints from HSE distribution and Hf-Pb-Os isotopes. *Contributions to Mineral Petrology*, *170*, 23. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00410-015-1176-x
- Aldanmaz, E., Köprübası, N., Gürer, O. F., Kaymakçı, N., & Gourgaud, A. (2006). Geochemical constraints on the Cenozoic, OIB-type alkaline volcanic rocks of NW Turkey: Implications for mantle sources and melting processes. *Lithos*, 86, 50–76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos. 2005.04.003
- Alıcı Sen, P. Temel, A., & Gourgaud, A. (2004). Petrogenetic modelling of Quaternary post-collisional volcanism: A case study of central and eastern Anatolia. *Geological Magazine*, 141(1), 81–98. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0016756803008550
- Alıcı, P., Temel, A., Gourgaud, A., Vidal, P., & Gündogdu, M. N. (2001). Quaternary tholeiitic to alkaline volcanism in the Karasu Valley, Dead Sea Rift Zone, Southeast Turkey: Sr-Nd-Pb-O isotopic and trace-element approached to crust-mantle interaction. *International Geology Review*, 43, 120–138.
- Alici, P., Temel, A., & Gourgaud, A. (2002). Pb-Nd-Sr isotope and trace element geochemistry of Quaternary extension-related alkaline volcanism: A case study of Kula region (western Anatolia, Turkey). Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 115, 487–510.
- Alpaslan, M. (2007). Early to middle Miocene intra-continental basaltic volcanism in the northern part of the Arabian plate, SE Anatolia, Turkey: Geochemistry and petrogenesis. *Geological Magazine*, 144(5), 867–882. https://doi.org/10.1017/S001675680700352
- Altunkaynak, S. & Genç, S. C. (2008). Petrogenesis and time-progressive evolution of the Cenozoic continental volcanism in the Biga peninsula, NW Anatolia (Turkey). *Lithos*, 102(1-2), 316–340. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2007.06.003
- Angus, D. A., Wilson, D. C., Sandvol, E., & Ni, J. F. (2015). Lithospheric structure of the Arabian and Eurasian collision zone in eastern Turkey from S-wave receiver functions. *Geophysical Journal International*, 166, 1335–1346. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2006.03070.x
- Arger, J., Mitchell, J., & Westaway, R. W. C. (2000). Neogene and Quaternary volcanism of southeastern Turkey. In E. Bozkurt, J. Winchester, & J. A. Piper (*Eds.*), *Tectonics and magmatism in Turkey and the surrounding area* (Special Publications 173; pp. 459–487). London: The Geological Society of London.
- Asan, K., & Kurt, H. (2011). Petrology and geochemistry of post-collisional Early Miocene volcanism in the Karacadağ area (Central Anatolia, Turkey). Acta Geologica Sinica, 85(5), 1100–1117.
- Aslan, Z., Arslan, M., Temizel, I., & Kaygusuz, A. (2014). K-Ar dating, whole-rock and Sr-Nd isotope geochemistry of calc-alkaline volcanic rocks around the Gümüşhane area: Implications for post-collisional volcanism in the Eastern Pontides, Northeast Turkey. *Mineral Petrol*ogy, 108, 245–267. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00710-013-0294-2
- Audet, P. (2014). Toward mapping the effective elastic thickness of planetary lithospheres from a spherical wavelet analysis of gravity and topography. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, 226, 48–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pepi.2013.09.011
- Aydin, F., Karsli, O., & Chen, B. (2008). Petrogenesis of the Neogene alkaline volcanics with implications for post-collisional lithospheric thinning of the Eastern Pontides, NE Turkey. *Lithos*, 104, 249–266. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2007.12.010
- Bakırcı, T., Yoshizawa, K., & Özer, M. F. (2012). Three-dimensional S-wave structure of the upper mantle beneath Turkey from surface wave tomography. *Geophysical Journal International*, 190, 1058–1076. https://doi.org/j.1365-246X.2012.05526.x
- Ballato, P., Uba, C. E., Landgraf, A., Strecker, M. R., Sudo, M., Stockli, D. F., ... Tabatabaei, S. H. (2011). Arabia-Eurasia continental collision: Insights from late Tertiary foreland-basin evolution in the Alborz Mountains, Northern Iran. Bulletin of the Geological Society of America, 123(1–2), 106–131. https://doi.org/10.1130/B30091.1
- Bartol, J., & Govers, R. (2014). A single cause for uplift of the Central and Eastern Anatolian plateau? Tectonophysics, 637, 116–136. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2014.10.002
- Bebout, G. E. (2007). Metamorphic chemical geodynamics of subduction zones. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 260, 373–393. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2007.05.050
- Becker, J., Sandwell, D., Smith, W., Braud, J., Binder, B., Depner, J., . . . Weatherall, P. (2009). Global bathymetry and elevation data at 30 arc second resolution: SRTM30_plus. *Marine Geology*, 32(4), 355–371.
- Biryol, C. B., Beck, S. L., Zandt, G., & Özacar, A. A. (2011). Segmented African lithosphere beneath the Anatolian region inferred from teleseismic P-wave tomography. *Geophysical Journal International*, 184(3), 1037–1057. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2010.04910.x
- Blundy, J., & Wood, B. (2003). Partitioning of trace elements between crystals and melts. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 210, 383–397. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-821X(03)00129-8
- Boschi, L., Faccenna, C., & Becker, T. W. (2010). Mantle structure and dynamic topography in the Mediterranean Basin. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *37*, L20303. https://doi.org/10.1029/2010GL045001
- Bozkurt, E., Winchester, J. A., Ruffet, G., & Rojay, B. (2008). Age and chemistry of Miocene volcanic rocks from the Kiraz Basin of the Küçük Menderes Graben: Its significance for the extensional tectonics of Southwestern Anatolia, Turkey. *Geodinamica Acta*, 21(5–6), 239–257. https://doi.org/0.3166/ga.21.239-257
- Brounce, M. N., Kelley, K. A., & Cottrell, E. (2014). Variations in Fe³⁺ /ΣFe of Mariana Arc basalts and mantle wedge fO₂. Journal of Petrology, 55(12), 2513–2536. https://doi.org/10.1093/petrology/egu065
- Çakır, O., & Erduran, M. (2004). Constraining crustal and uppermost mantle structure beneath station TBZ (Trabzon, Turkey) by receiver function and dispersion analyses. *Geophysical Journal International*, 158, 955–971. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2004.02345.x
- Çakır, O., & Erduran, M. (2011). On the P and S receiver functions used for inverting the one-dimensional upper mantle shear-wave velocities. Surveys in Geophysics, 32, 71–98. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10712-010-9108-9
- Chamorro, C. R., Garcia-Cuesta, J. L., Mondéjar, M. E., & Pérez-Madrazo, A. (2014). Enhanced geothermal systems in Europe: An estimation and comparison of the technical and sustainable potentials. *Energy*, *65*, 250–263. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2013.11.078
- Çiner, A., Kosun, E., & Deynoux, M. (2002). Fluvial, evaporitic and shallow-marine facies architecture, depositional evolution and cyclicity in the Sivas Basin (Lower to Middle Miocene), Central Turkey. Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, 21, 147–165.
- Cipollari, P., Cosentino, D., Radeff, G., Schildgen, T. F., Faranda, C., Grossi, F., . . . Echtler, H. (2012). Easternmost Mediterranean evidence of the Zanclean flooding event and subsequent surface uplift: Adana Basin, southern Turkey. *Geological Society of London, Special Publications*, 372(1), 473–494. https://doi.org/10.1144/sp372.5
- Cipollari, P., Halásová, E., Gürbüz, K., & Cosentino, D. (2013). Middle-upper Miocene paleogeography of southern Turkey: Insights from stratigraphy and calcareous nannofossil biochronology of the Olukpinar and Başyayla sections (Mut-Ermenek Basin). *Turkish Journal of Earth Sciences*, 22(5), 820–838. https://doi.org/10.3906/yer-1208-2

Çoban, H. (2007). Basalt magma genesis and fractionation in collision- and extension-related provinces: A comparison between eastern, central and western Anatolia. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 80(3–4), 219–238. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2006.08.006

Colli, L., Ghelichkhan, S., & Bunge, H.-P. (2016). On the ratio of dynamic topography and gravity anomalies in a dynamic Earth. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43, 2510–2516. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL067929

Cosentino, D., Schildgen, T. F., Cipollari, P., Faranda, C., Gliozzi, E., Hudákovčá, N., . . . Strecker, M. R. (2012). Late Miocene surface uplift of the southern margin of the Central Anatolian plateau, Central Taurides, Turkey. *Bulletin of the Geological Society of America*, 124(1–2), 133–145. https://doi.org/10.1130/B30466.1

Cottrell, E., & Kelley, K. A. (2011). The oxidation state of Fe in MORB glasses and the oxygen fugacity of the upper mantle. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 305, 270–282. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2011.03.014

Crosby, A. G., Fishwick, S., & White, N. (2010). Structure and evolution of the intracratonic Congo Basin. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, *11*, Q06010. https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GC003014

Czarnota, K., Roberts, G., White, N., & Fishwick, S. (2014). Spatial and temporal patterns of Australian dynamic topography from river profile modeling. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 119, 1384–1424. https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JB010436

Dalton, C. A., Langmuir, C. H., & Gale, A. (2014). Geophysical and geochemical evidence for deep temperature variations beneath midocean ridges. Science, 344(6179), 80–83. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1249466

Davies, G. F. (1994). Thermochemical erosion of the lithosphere by mantle plumes. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 99(B8), 15709–15722. Demir, T., Seyrek, A., Westaway, R., Guillou, H., Scaillet, S., Beck, A., & Bridgland, D. R. (2012). Late Cenozoic regional uplift and localised

crustal deformation within the northern Arabian Platform in southeast Turkey: Investigation of the Euphrates terrace staircase using multidisciplinary techniques. *Geomorphology*, 165–166, 7–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2012.01.005

Demir, T., Westaway, R., Bridgland, D., Pringle, M., Yurtmen, S., Beck, A., & Rowbotham, G. (2007). Ar-Ar dating of late Cenozoic basaltic volcanism in northern Syria: Implications for the history of incision by the River Euphrates and uplift of the northern Arabian Platform. *Tectonics*, 26, TC3012. https://doi.org/10.1029/2006TC001959

DePaulo, D. J. (1981). Trace element and isotopic effects of combined wallrock assimilation and fractional crystallization. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 53, 189–202.

Dixon, J. E., Leist, L., Langmuir, C., & Schilling, J.-G. (2002). Recycled dehydrated lithosphere observed in plume-influenced mid-ocean-ridge basalt. Nature, 420, 385–389. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01215

Duretz, T., Gerya, T. V., & May, D. A. (2011). Numerical modelling of spontaneous slab breakoff and subsequent topographic response. *Tectonophysics*, 502, 244–246. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2010.05.024

Dziewonski, A. M., Chou, T. A., & Woodhouse, J. H. (1981). Determination of earthquake source parameters from waveform data for studies of global and regional seismicity. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 86(B4), 2825–2852. https://doi.org/10.1029/JB086iB042825

Ekici, T., Alpaslan, M., Parlak, O., & Uçurum, A. (2009). Geochemistry of the middle Miocene collision-related Yamadaği (Eastern Anatolia) calc-alkaline volcanics, Turkey. Turkish Journal of Earth Science, 18, 511–528. https://doi.org/10.3906/yer-0712-1

Ekström, G., Nettles, M., & Dziewonski, A. M. (2012). The global CMT project 2004–2010: Centroid moment tensors for 13,017 earthquakes. Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors, 200–201, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pepi.2012.04.002

Elliott, T. (2003). Tracers in the Slab. In J. Eiler (Ed.), Inside the subduction factory, Gephysical Monograph Series (Vol. 138, pp. 23–45). Washington, DC: American Geophysical Union. https://doi.org/10.1029/GM138

England, P., Houseman, G., & Nocquet, J. M. (2016). Constraints from GPS measurements on the dynamics of deformation in Anatolia and the Aegean. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *121*, 8888–8916. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JB013382

Erduran, M. (2009). Teleseismic inversion of crustal S-wave velocities beneath Isparta station. Journal of Geodynamics, 47, 225–236. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jog.2008.10.001

Erkül, F., Helvacı, C., & Sözbilir, H. (2005). Evidence for two episodes of volcanism in the Bigadiç borate basin and tectonic implications for western Turkey. *Geological Journal*, 40, 545–570. https://doi.org/10.1002/gj.1026

Ersoy, E. Y., Helvaci, C., & Palmer, M. R. (2010). Mantle source characteristics and melting models for the early-middle Miocene mafic volcanism in Western Anatolia: Implications for enrichment processes of mantle lithosphere and origin of K-rich volcanism in post-collisional settings. Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 198, 112–128. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2010.08.014

Ersoy, E. Y., Helvaci, C., & Palmer, M. R. (2012). Petrogenesis of the Neogene volcanic unist in the NE–SW-trending basins in western Anatolia, Turkey. *Contributions to Mineral Petrology*, *163*, 379–401. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00410-011-0679-3

Ersoy, E. Y., Helvaci, C., Sözbilir, H., Erkül, F., & Bozkurt, E. (2008). A geochemical approach to Neogen-Quaternary volcanic activity of western Anatolia: An example of episodic bimodal volcanism within the Selendi Basin, Turkey. *Chemical Geology*, 255, 265–282. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2008.06.044

Ersoy, E. Y., Palmer, M. R., Uysal, I., & Gündoğan, I. (2014). Geochemistry and petrology of the Early Miocene lamproites and related volcanic rocks in the Thrace Basin, NW Anatolia. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, 283, 143–158. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2014.06.016

Faccenna, C., & Becker, T. (2010). Shaping mobile belts by small-scale convection. Nature, 465, 602–605. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nature09064

Faccenna, C., Becker, T. W., Auer, L., Billi, A., Boschi, L., Brun, J. P., . . . Serpelloni, E. (2014). Mantle dynamics in the Mediterranean. Reviews of Geophysics, 52, 283–332. https://doi.org/10.1002/2013RG000444

Faccenna, C., Becker, T. W., Jolivet, L., & Keskin, M. (2013). Mantle convection in the Middle East: Reconciling Afar upwelling, Arabia indentation and Aegean trench rollback. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 375, 254–269. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2013.05.043

Fitton, J. G., James, D., & Leeman, W. P. (1991). Basic magmatism associated with Late Cenozoic extension in the Western United States: Compositional variations in space and time. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *96*(B8), 13693–17711.

Förste, C., Bruinsma, S. L., Flechtner, F., Marty, J.-C., Lemoine, J.-M., Dahle, C., ... Balmino, G. (2012). A preliminary update of the direct approach GOCE processing and a new release of EIGEN-6C. Abstract #G31B-0923 presented at 2012 Fall Meeting, AGU, San Francisco, CA.

Fox, M., Goren, L., May, D. A., & Willett, S. D. (2014). Inversion of fluvial channels for paleorock uplift rates in Taiwan. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, *119*, 1853–1875. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JF003196

Gencalioglu-Kuscu, G. (2011). Geochemical characterization of a Quaternary monogenetic volcano in Erciyes Volcanic Complex: Cora Maar (Central Anatolian Volcanic Province, Turkey). International Journal of Earth Sciences, 100, 1967–1985. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00531-010-0620-4

Gencalioglu-Kuscu, G., & Geneli, F. (2010). Review of post-collisional volcanism in the Central Anatolian Volcanic Province (Turkey), with special reference to the Tepekoy Volcanic Complex. *International Journal of Earth Sciences*, 99, 593–621. https://doi.org/0.1007/s00531-008-0402-4

Ghiorso, M. S., Hirschmann, M. M., Reiners, P. W., & Kress, V. C. I. (2002). The pMELTS: A revision of MELTS for improved calculation of phase relations and major element partitioning related to partial melting of the mantle to 3 GPa. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 3(5). https://doi.org/10.1029/2001GC000217

Gibson, S. A., & Geist, D. (2010). Geochemical and geophysical estimates of lithospheric thickness variation beneath Galápagos. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 300(3-4), 275-286. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2010.10.002.

Göğüş, O. H., & Psyklywec, R. N. (2008). Mantle lithosphere delamination driving plateau uplift and synconvergent extension in eastern Anatolia. *Geology*, 36(9), 723–726. https://doi.org/10.1130/G24982A.1

Göğüş, O. H., Psyklywec, R. N., Şengör, A. M. C., & Gün, E. (2017). Drip tectonics and the enigmatic uplift of the Central Anatolian Plateau. Nature Communications, 8, 1538. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01611-3

Gök, R., Mahdi, H., Al-Shukri, H., & Rodgers, A. J. (2008). Crustal structure of Iraq from receiver functions and surface wave dispersion: Implications for understanding the deformation history of the Arabian-Eurasian collision. *Geophysical Journal International*, 172, 1179–1187. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2007.03670.x

Goren, L., Fox, M., & Willett, S. D. (2014). Tectonics from fluvial topography using formal linear inverion: Theory and applications to the Inyo Mountains, California. Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 119, 1615–1681. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JF003079

Govers, R., & Fichtner, A. (2016). Signature of slab fragmentation beneath Anatolia from full-waveform tomography. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 450, 10–19. https://doi.org/10.1016/i.epsl.2016.06.014

Grützner, T., Prelević, D., & Akal, C. (2013). Geochemistry and origin of ultramafic enclaves and their basanitic host rock from Kula Volcano, Turkey. Lithos, 180–181, 58–73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2013.08.001

Gunn, R. (1943). A quantitative evaluation of the influence of the lithosphere on the anomalies of gravity. *Journal of the Franklin Institute*, 236, 47–65.

Gürsoy, O., Kaya, Ş., Çakir, Z., Tatar, O., & Canbaz, O. (2017). Determining lateral offsets of rocks along the eastern part of the North Anatolian Fault Zone (Turkey) using spectral classification of satellite images and field measurements. *Geomatics, Natural Hazards and Risk*, 8(2), 1276–1288. https://doi.org/10.1080/19475705.2017.1318794

Gürsu, S. (2016). A new petrogenetic model for meta-granitic rocks in the central and southern Menderes Massif – W Turkey: Implications for Cadomian crustal evolution within the Pan-African mega-cycle. *Precambrian Research*, 275, 450–470. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pre-camres.2016.01.025

Hart, S. R., Hauri, E. H., Oschmann, L. A., & Whitehead, J. A. (1992). Mantle plumes and entrainment: Isotopic evidence. Science, 256(5056), 517–520.

Helvacı, C., Ersoy, E. Y., Sözbilir, H., Erkül, F., Sümer, O., & Uzel, B. (2009). Geochemistry and ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar geochronology of Miocene volcanic rocks from the Karabarun Peninsula: Implications of amphibole-bearing lithospheric mantle source, Western Anatolia. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, 185, 181–202. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2009.05.016

Herzberg, C., Asimow, P. D., Arndt, N., Niu, Y., Lesher, C. M., Fitton, J. G., ... Saunders, A. D. (2007). Temperatures in ambient mantle and plumes: Constraints from basalts, picrites and komatiites. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 8, Q02006. https://doi.org/10.1029/ 2006GC001390

Hirschmann, M. M. (2010). Partial melt in the oceanic low velocity zone. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, 179, 60–71. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.pepi.2009.12.003

Hoggard, M. J., White, N., & Al-Attar, D. (2016). Global dynamic topography observations reveal limited influence of large-scale mantle flow. *Nature Geoscience*, 9, 456–463. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2709

Hoggard, M. J., Winterbourne, J., Czarnota, K., & White, N. (2017). Oceanic residual depth measurements, the plate cooling model and global dynamic topography. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *122*, 2328–2372. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JB013457

Howard, A. D., & Kerby, G. (1983). Channel changes in badlands. *Geological Society of America Bulletin, 94*, 739–752. Hubert-Ferrari, A., Armijo, R., King, G., Meyer, B., & Aykut, B. (2002). Morphology, displacement, and slip-rates along the North Anatolian

Fault, Turkey. Journal of Geophysical Research, 107(B10), 2235. https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JB000393

Hüsing, S. K., Zachariasse, W.-J., van Hinsbergen, D. J. J., Krijgsman, W., Inceoz, M., Harzhauser, M., . . . Kroh, A. (2009). Oligocene-Miocene basin evolution in SE Anatolia, Turkey: Constraints on the closure of the eastern Tethys gateway. *Geological Society of London, Special Publications*, 311(1), 107–132. https://doi.org/10.1144/SP311.4

Innocenti, F., Agostini, S., Di Vincenzo, G., Doglioni, C., Manetti, P., Savaşçin, M. Y., & Tonarini, S. (2005). Neogene and Quaternary volcanism in Western Anatolia: Magma sources and geodynamic evolution. *Marine Geology*, 221(1–4), 397–421. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo. 2005.03.016

Isik, V., Uysal, I. T., Caglayan, A., & Seyitoglu, G. (2014). The evolution of intraplate fault systems in central Turkey: Structural evidence and Ar-Ar and Rb-Sr age constraints for the Savcili Fault Zone. *Tectonics*, 33(10), 1875–1899. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014TC003565

Jackson, J., & McKenzie, D. (1988). The relationship between plate motions and seismic moment tensor, and the rates of active deformation in the Mediterranean and Middle East. *Geophysical Journal*, 93, 45–73.

Jennings, E. S., & Holland, T. J. B. (2015). A simple thermodynamic model for melting of peridotite in the system NCFMASOCr. *Journal of Petrology*, *56*(5), 869–892. https://doi.org/10.1093/petrology/egv020

Jolivet, L., & Brun, J. P. (2010). Cenozoic geodynamic evolution of the Aegean. International Journal of Earth Sciences, 99(1), 109–138. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00531-008-0366-4

Karaoğlu, O., Helvaci, C., & Ersoy, Y. (2010). Petrogenesis and ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar geochronology of the volcanic rocks of the Uşak-Güre basin, western Türkiye. *Lithos*, *119*, 193–210. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2010.07.001

Karato, S-I. (2011). Water distribution across the mantle transition zone and its implications for global material circulation. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 301, 413–423. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2010.11.038

Katsura, T., Yamada, H., Nishikawa, O., Song, M., Kubo, A., Shinmei, T., ... Funakoshi, K.-I. (2004). Olivine-wadsleyite transition in the system (Mg, Fe)₂SiO₂. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *109*, B02209. https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JB002438

Katz, R. F., Spiegelmann, M., & Langmuir, C. H. (2003). A new parameterization of hydrous mantle melting. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 4(9), 1073. https://doi.org/10.1029/2002GC000433

Kelley, K. A., Plank, T., Newman, S., Stolper, E. M., Grove, T. L., Parman, S., & Hauri, E. H. (2010). Mantle melting as a function of water content beneath the Mariana Arc. *Journal of Petrology*, 51(8), 1711–1738. https://doi.org/10.1093/petrology/egq036

Kempton, P. D., Fitton, J. G., Hawkesworth, C. J., & Ormerod, D. S. (1991). Isotopic and trace element constraints on the composition and evolution of the lithosphere beneath the southwestern United States. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 96(B8), 13713–13735. https://doi. org/10.1029/91JB00373

Keskin, M. (2003). Magma generation by slab steepening and breakoff beneath a subduction-accretion complex: An alternative model for collision-related volcanism in Eastern Anatolia, Turkey. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 30(24), 8046. https://doi.org/10.1029/2003GL018019

Keskin, M. (2007). Eastern Anatolia: A hotspot in a collision zone without a mantle plume. In G. R. Foulger & D. M. Jurdy (Eds.), *Plates, plumes and planetary processes* (Special Paper 430, pp. 693–722). Boulder, CO: The Geological Society of America. https://doi.org/10.1130/2007. 2430(32)

Keskin, M., Pearce, J. A., & Mitchell, J. G. (1998). Volcano-stratigraphy and geochemistry of collision-related volcanism on the Erzurum-Kars Plateua, northeastern Turkey. Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 85, 355–404.

- Kheirkhah, M., Allen, M. B., & Emami, M. (2009). Quaternary syn-collision magmatism from the Iran/Turkey borderlands. Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 182, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2009.01.026
- Kind, R., Eken, T., Tilmann, F., Sodoudi, F., Taymaz, T., Bulut, F., ... Schneider, F. (2015). Thickness of the lithosphere beneath Turkey and surroundings from S-receiver functions. Solid Earth, 6, 971–984. https://doi.org/10.5194/se-6-971-2015
- Klaver, M., Davies, G. R., & Vroon, P. Z. (2016). Subslab mantle of African provenance infiltrating the Aegean mantle wedge. Geology, 44(5), 367–370. https://doi.org/10.1130/G37627.1
- Klaver, M., Djuly, T., de Graaf, S., Sakes, A., Wijbrans, J., Davies, G., & Vroon, P. (2015). Temporal and spatial variations in provenance of Eastern Mediterranean Sea: Implications for Aegean and Aeolian arc volcanism. *Geochemica Et Cosmochimica Acta*, 153, 149–168. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2015.01.007
- Kürkçüoğlu, B., Pickard, M., Şen, P., Hanan, B. B., Sayit, K., Plummer, C., . . . Furman, T. (2015). Geochemistry of mafic lavas from Sivas, Turkey and the evolution of Anatolian lithosphere. *Lithos*, 232, 229–241. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2015.07.006
- Kürüm, S., Önal, A., Boztuğ, D., Spell, T., & Arslan, M. (2008). ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar age and geochemistry of the post-collisional Miocene Yamadağ volcanics in the Arapkir area (Malatya Province), eastern Anatolia, Turkey. *Journal of Asian Earth Science*, 33, 229–251. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jseaes.2007.12.001
- Langmuir, C. H., Bezoós, A., Escrig, S., & Parman, S. W. (2006). Chemical systematics and hydrous melting of the mantle in Back-Arc basins. In D. M. Christie, C. R. Fisher, S.-M. Lee, & S. Givens (Eds.), Back-arc spreading systems: Geological, biological, chemical, and physical interactions, Geophysical Monograph Series (Vol. 166, pp. 87–146). Washington, DC: American Geophysical Union. https://doi.org/10.1029/ 166GM07
- Lebedev, V. A., Bubnov, S. N., Dudauri, O. Z., & Vashakidze, G. T. (2008a). Geochronology of Pliocene volcanism in the Dzhavakheti Highland (the Lesser Caucasus). Part 1: Western part of the Dzhavakheti Highland. *Stratigraphy and Geological Correlation*, 16(2), 204–224.
- Lebedev, V. A., Bubnov, S. N., Dudauri, O. Z., & Vashakidze, G. T. (2008b). Geochronology of Pliocene volcanism in the Dzhavakheti Highland (the Lesser Caucasus). Part 2: Eastern part of the Dzhavakheti Highland. Regional geological correlation. Stratigraphy and Geological Correlation, 16(5), 553–574.
- Lebedev, V. A., Sharkov, E. V., Ünal, E., & Keskin, M. (2016a). Late Pleistocene Tendürek Volcano (Eastern Anatolia, Turkey): 1. Geochronology and petrographic characteristics of igneous rocks. *Petrology*, 24(2), 127–152. https://doi.org/10.1134/S0869591116020041

Lebedev, V. A., Sharkov, E. V., Ünal, E., & Keskin, M. (2016b). Late Pleistocene Tendürek Volcano (Eastern Anatolia, Turkey): 2. Geochemistry and petrogenesis of the rocks. *Petrology*, 24(2), 234–270. https://doi.org/10.1134/S0869591116020041

Lee, C. T. A., Luffi, P., Plank, T., Dalton, H., & Leeman, W. P. (2009). Constraints on the depths and temperatures of basaltic magma generation on Earth and other terrestrial planets using new thermobarometers for mafic magmas. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 279(1–2), 20–33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2008.12.020

- Le Pichon, X., & Kreemer, C. (2010). The Miocene-to-present kinematic evolution of the Eastern Mediterranean and Middle East and its implications for dynamics. *Annual Reviews of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, *38*, 323–351. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-earth-040809-152419
- Lüdecke, T., Mikes, T., Rojay, F. B., Cosca, M. A., & Mulch, A. (2013). Stable isotope-based reconstruction of Oligo-Miocene paleoenvironment and paleohydrology of Central Anatolian lake basins (Turkey). *Turkish Journal of Earth Sciences*, 22(5), 793–819. https://doi.org/10.3906/ yer-1207-11
- Lustrino, M., Keskin, M., Mattioli, M., Lebedev, V. A., Chugaev, A., Sharkov, E., & Kavak, O. (2010). Early activity of the largest Cenozoic shield volcano in the circum-Mediterranean area: Mt. Karacadağ, SE Turkey. *European Journal of Mineralogy*, 22, 343–362. https://doi.org/10. 1127/0935-1221/2010/0022-2024
- Lustrino, M., Keskin, M., Mattioli, M., & Kavak, O. (2012). Heterogenous mantle sources feeding the volcanic activity of Mt. Karacadağ (SE Turkey). Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, 46, 120–139. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jseaes.2011.11.016

Lustrino, M., & Wilson, M. (2007). The circum-Mediterranean anorogenic Cenozoic igneous province. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 81, 1–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2006.09.002

Matthews, S., Shorttle, O., & Maclennan, J. (2016). The temperature of the Icelandic mantle from olivine-spinel aluminum exchange thermometry. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 17, 4725–4752. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GC006497

McKenzie, D. (1978). Active tectonics of the Alpine-Himalayan belt: The Aegean Sea and surrounding regions. *Geophysical Journal of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 55, 217–254.

McKenzie, D. (2003). Estimating Te in the presence of internal loads. Journal of Geophysical Research, 108(B9), 2438. https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JB001766

McKenzie, D. (2010). The influence of dynamically supported topography on estimates of *Te. Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 295(1–2), 127–138. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2010.03.033

McKenzie, D., & Bickle, M. J. (1988). The volume and composition of melt generated by extension of the lithosphere. *Journal of Petrology*, 29(3), 625–679.

McKenzie, D., & Fairhead, D. (1997). Estimates of the effective elastic thickness of the continental lithosphere from Bouger and free air gravity anomalies. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 102(B12), 27523–27552. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13137-015-0078-4

McKenzie, D., & O'nions, R. K. (1991). Partial melt distributions from inversion of rare earth element concentrations. *Journal of Petrology*, 32(5), 1021–1091.

McQuarrie, N., & Van Hinsbergen, D. J. J. (2013). Retrodeforming the Arabia-Eurasia collision zone: Age of collision versus magnitude of continental subduction. *Geology*, 41(3), 315–318. https://doi.org/10.1130/G33591.1

Michael, P. (1995). Regionally distinctive sources of depleted MORB: Evidence from trace elements and H₂O. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 131(3–4), 301–320. https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821X(95)00023-6

Molinari, I., & Morelli, A. (2011). EPcurst: A reference model for the European Plate. *Geophysical Journal International*, 185(1), 352–364. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2011.04940.x

Neill, I., Meliksetian, K., Allen, M. B., Navasardyan, G., & Kuiper, K. (2015). Petrogenesis of mafic collision zone magmatism: The Armenian sector of the Turkish-Iranian Plateau. Chemical Geology, 403, 24–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2015.03.013

Nocquet, J.-M. (2012). Present-day kinematics of the Mediterranean: A comprehensive overview of GPS results. *Tectonophysics*, 579, 220–242. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2012.03.037

Olsen, P., Schubert, G., Anderson, C., & Goldman, P. (1988). Plume formation and lithosphere erosion: A comparison of laboratory and numerical experiments. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 93(B12), 15065–15084.

Oyan, V., Keskin, M., Lebedev, V. A., Chugaev, A. V., & Sharkov, E. V. (2016). Magmatic evolution of the Early Pliocene Etrüsk stratovolcano, Eastern Anatolia Collision Zone, Turkey. *Lithos*, 256–257, 88–108. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2016.03.017

- Özdemir, Y., & Güleç, N. (2013). Geological and geochemical evolution of the quaternary Süphan Stratovolcano, Eastern Anatolia, Turkey: Evidence for the lithosphere-asthenosphere interaction in post-collisional volcanism. *Journal of Petrology*, 55(1), 37–62. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/petrology/eat060
- Özdemir, Y., Karaoğlu, O., Tolluoğlu, A. U., & Güleç, N. (2006). Volcanostratigraphy and petrogenesis of the Nemrut stratovolcano (East Anatolian High Plateau): The most recent post-collisional volcanism in Turkey. *Chemical Geology*, 226, 189–211. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. chemgeo.2005.09.020
- Parlak, O., Delaloye, M., Demirkol, C., & Ünlügenç, U. C. (2001). Geochemistry of Pliocene/Pleistocene basalts along the Central Anatolian Fault Zone (CAFZ), Turkey. *Geodinamic Acta*, 14, 159–167.
- Parlak, O., Kop, A., Ünlügenç, U. C., & Demirkol, C. (1998). Geochronology and geochemistry of basaltic rocks in the Karasu Graben around Kirikhan (Hatay), S. Turkey. *Turkish Journal of Earth Sciences*, 7, 53–61.
- Paton, S. M. (1992). The relationship between extension and volcanism in Western Turkey, the Aegean Sea and Central Greece (PhD thesis). Cambridge, UK: University of Cambridge.

Paul, J. D., Roberts, G. G., & White, N. (2014). The African landscape through space and time. *Tectonics*, 33, 898–935. https://doi.org/10.1002/ 2013TC003479

- Pearce, J., Bender, J., De Long, S., Kidd, W., Low, P., Güner, Y., ... Mitchell, J. (1990). Genesis of collision volcanism in Eastern Anatolia, Turkey. Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 44, 189–229. https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-0237(90)90018-B
- Philpotts, A. R., & Ague, J. J. (2009). Principles of igneous and metamorphic petrology (2nd ed.). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09780511813429
- Plank, T., & Forsyth, D. (2016). Thermal structure and melting conditions in the mantle beneath the Basin and Range province from seismology and petrology. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 17, 1312–1338. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GC006205
- Plank, T., & Langmuir, C. H. (1998). The chemical composition of subducting sediment and its consequences for the crust and mantle. *Chemical Geology*, 145, 325–394. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2541(97)00150-2
- Poisson, A., Guezou, J. C., Ozturk, A., Inan, S., Temiz, H., Gürsöy, H., ... Özden, S. (1996). Tectonic setting and evolution of the Sivas Basin, central Anatolia, Turkey. International Geology Review, 38(9), 838–853. https://doi.org/10.1080/00206819709465366
- Poisson, A., Orszag-Sperber, F., Kosun, E., Bassetti, M.-A., Müller, C., Wernlu, R., & Rouchy, J.-M. (2011). The late Cenozoic evolution of the Aksu basin (Isparta Angle; SW Turkey). New Insights. *Bulletin de la Société Géologique de France, 182*(2), 133–148.
- Polat, A., Kerrich, R., & Casey, J. F. (1997). Geochemistry of Quaternary basalts erupted along the East Anatolian and Dead Sea fault zones of southern Turkey: Implications for mantle sources. *Lithos*, 40, 55–68.
- Prelević, D., Akal, C., Foley, S. F., Romer, R. L., Stracke, A., & van den Bogaard, P. (2012). Ultrapotassic mafic rocks as geochemical proxies for post-collisional dynamics of orogenic lithospheric mantle: The case of southwestern Anatolia, Turkey. *Journal of Petrology*, 53(5), 1019– 1005, https://doi.org/10.1093/jpetrology/egs008
- Prelević, D., Akal, C., Romer, R. L., Mertz-Kraus, R., & Helvacı, C. (2015). Magmatic response to slab tearing: Constraints from the Afyon Alkaline Volcanic Complex, Western Turkey. *Journal of Petrology*, 56(3), 527–562. https://doi.org/10.1093/petrology/egv008
- Press, W. H., Teukolsky, S. A., Vetterling, W. T., & Flannery, B. P. (1992). Numerical recipes in Fortran: The art of scientific computing. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Priestley, K., & McKenzie, D. (2013). The relationship between shear wave velocity, temperature, attenuation and viscosity in the shallow part of the mantle. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 381, 78–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2013.08.022
- Pritchard, D., Roberts, G. G., White, N. J., & Richardson, C. N. (2009). Uplift histories from river profiles. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 36, L24301. https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GL040928
- Putirka, K. D., Perfit, M., Ryerson, F. J., & Jackson, M. G. (2007). Ambient and excess mantle temperatures, olivine thermometry, and active vs. passive upwelling. *Chemical Geology*, 241, 177–206. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2007.01.014
- Reid, M. R., Schleiffarth, W. K., Cosca, M. A., Delph, J. R., Blichert-Toft, J., & Cooper, K. M. (2017). Shallow melting of MORB-like mantle under hot continental lithosphere, Central Anatolia. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 18, 1866–1888. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GC006772
- Reilinger, R., McClusky, S., Vernant, P., Lawrence, S., Ergintav, S., Cakmak, R., . . . Karam, G. (2006). GPS constraints on continental deformation in the Africa-Arabia-Eurasia continental collision zone and implications for the dynamics of plate interactions. *Journal of Geophysical Research*. 111, B05411. https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JB004051
- Richards, F. D., Hoggard, M. J., & White, N. J. (2016). Cenozoic epeirogeny of the Indian peninsula. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 17, 4920–4954. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GC006545
- Richardson-Bunbury, J. M. (1992). Basalts of Kula and their relation to extension in western Turkey (PhD thesis). Cambridge, UK: University of Cambridge.
- Roberts, G. G., & White, N. (2010). Estimating uplift rate histories from river profiles using African examples. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *115*, B02406. https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JB006692
- Rudge, J. F., Roberts, G. G., White, N. J., & Richardson, C. N. (2015). Uplift histories of Africa and Australia from linear inverse modeling of drainage inventories. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, *120*, 894–914. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JF003297
- Salaün, G., Pedersen, H. A., Paul, A., Farra, V., Karabulut, H., Hatzfeld, D., . . . Pequegnat, C. (2012). High-resolution surface wave tomography beneath the Aegean-Anatolia region: Constraints on upper-mantle structure. *Geophysical Journal International*, *190*(1), 406–420. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2012.05483.x
- Saunders, P., Priestley, K., & Taymaz, T. (1998). Variations in the crustal structure beneath western Turkey. *Geophysical Journal International*, 134, 373–389.
- Schemmel, F., Mikes, T., Rojay, B., & Mulch, A. (2013). The impact of topography on isotopes in precipitation across the Central Anatolian Plateau (Turkey). American Journal of Science, 313, 61–80. https://doi.org/10.2475/02.2013.01
- Schildgen, T. F., Cosentino, D., Bookhagen, B., Niedermann, S., Yildırım, C. Y., Echtler, H., ... Strecker, M. R. (2012a). Multi-phased uplift of the southern margin of the Central Anatolian plateau, Turkey: A record of tectonic and upper mantle processes. *Earth and Planetary Sci*ence Letters, 317–318, 85–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2011.12.003
- Schildgen, T. F., Cosentino, D., Caruso, A., Buchwaldt, R., Yıldırım, C. Y., Bowring, S. A., . . . Strecker, M. R. (2012b). Surface expression of eastern Mediterranean slab dynamics: Neogene topographic and structural evolution of the southwest margin of the Central Anatolian Plateau, Turkey. *Tectonics*, *31*, TC2005. https://doi.org/10.1029/2011TC003021

Schildgen, T. F., Yıldırım, C. Y., Cosentino, D., & Strecker, M. R. (2014). Linking slab break-off, Hellenic trench retreat, and uplift of the Central and Eastern Anatolian plateaus. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 128, 147–168. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2013.11.006

Semiz, B., Ersoy, E. Y., Özpinar, Y., Helvaci, C., Palmer, M. R., & Billor, M. Z. (2015). ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar geochronology, geochemistry and petrology of volcanic rocks from the Simav Graben, western Turkey. *Contributions to Mineral Petrology*, 170, 24. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00410-015-1178-8

Şengör, A. M. C., Görür, N., & Şaroğlu, F. (1985). Strike-slip faulting and related basin formation in zones of tectonic escape: Turkey as a case study. In K. T. Biddle & N. Christie-Black (Eds.), Strike-slip deformation, baisn formation, and sedimentation (vol. 37, pp. 227–264). Tulsa, OK: Society for Economical Paleontology and Mineralogy Special Publication. https://doi.org/10.2110/pec.85.37.0227

Şengör, A. M. C., Özeren, S., Genç, T., & Zor, E. (2003). East Anatolian high plateau as a mantle-supported, north-south shortened domal structure. Geophysical Research Letters, 30(24), 8045. https://doi.org/10.1029/2003GL017858

Şengör, A. M. C., Özeren, M. S., Keskin, M., Sakinç, M., Özbakır, A. D., & Kayan, I. (2008). Eastern Turkish high plateau as a small Turkic-type orogen: Implications for post-collisional crust-forming processes in Turkic-type orogens. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 90(1–2), 1–48. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2008.05.002

Şengör, A. M. C., & Yilmaz, Y. (1981). Tethyan evolution of Turkey: A plate tectonic approach. *Tectonophysics*, 75(3–4), 181–190, 193–199, 203–241. https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(81)90275-4

Seyitoğlu, G., Anderson, D., Nowell, G., & Scott, B. (1997). The evolution from Miocene potassic to Quaternary sodic magmatism in western Turkey: Implications for enrichment processes in the lithospheric mantle. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, 76, 127–147.

Sklar, L., & Dietrich, W. E. (1998). River longitudinal profiles and bedrock incision models: Stream power and the influence of sediment supply. In K. J. Tinkler & E. E. Wohl (Eds.), *Rivers over rock: Fluvial processes in bedrock channels, Geophysical Monograph Series* (vol. 107, pp. 237–260). Washington, DC: American Geophysical Union.

Skolbeltsyn, G., Mellors, R., Gök, R., Türkelli, N., Yetirmishli, G., & Sandvol, E. (2014). Upper mantle S wave velocity structure of the East Anatolian-Caucasus region. *Tectonics*, 33(3), 207–221. https://doi.org/10.1002/2013TC003334

Stracke, A., Hofmann, A. W., & Hart, S. R. (2005). FOZO, HIMU, and the rest of the mantle zoo. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 6, Q05007. https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GC000824

Taghizadeh-Farahmand, F., Sodoudi, F., Afsari, N., & Ghassemi, M. R. (2010). Lithospheric structure of NW Iran from P and S receiver functions. Journal of Seismology, 14, 823–836. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10950-010-9199-2

Tapley, B. D., Ries, J., Bettadpur, S., Chambers, D., Cheng, M., Condi, F., & Poole, S. (2007). The GGM03 Mean Earth Gravity Model from GRACE. Abstract G42A-03 presented at AGU Fall Meeting. Eos Transactions, 88(52).

Tarboton, D. G. (1997). A new method for the determination of flow directions and upslope areas in grid elevation models. *Water Resources Research*, 33(2), 309–319. https://doi.org/10.1029/96WR03137

Temel, A., Yüruür, T., Alıcı, P., Varol, E., Gourgaud, A., Bellon, H., & Demirbağ, H. (2010). Alkaline series related to Early-Middle Miocene intracontinental rifting in a collision zone: An example from Polatlı, Central Anatolia, Turkey. *Journal of Asain Earth Science*, 38, 298–306. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jseaes.2009.12.017

Tezel, T., Shibutani, T., & Kaypak, B. (2010). Crustal variation in western Turkey inferred from the receiver function analysis. *Tectonophysics*, 492, 240–252. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2010.06.006

Tezel, T., Shibutani, T., & Kaypak, B. (2013). Crustal thickness of turkey determined by receiver function. *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences*, 75, 36–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jseaes.2013.06.016

Thompson, R. N., Ottley, C. J., Smith, P. M., Pearson, D. G., Pickin, A. P., Morrison, M. A., Leat, P. T., & Gibson, S. A. (2005). Source of Quaternary alkalic basalts, picrites and basanites of the Potrillo Volcanic Field, New Mexico, USA: Lithosphere or convecting mantle? *Journal of Petrology*, 46(8), 1603–1643. https://doi.org/10.1093/petrology/egi028

Tomkin, J. H., Brandon, M. T., Pazzaglia, F. J., Barbour, J. R., & Willett, S. D. (2003). Quantitative testing of bedrock incision models for Clearwater River, NW Washington State. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 108(B8), 2308. https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JB000862

Tunoğlu, C. (1991). Orta Pontidler'de Devrekani Havzası'nın in (Kastamonu kuzeyi) lithostratigrafi birimleri. In Suat erk jeoloji sempozyumu, Bildiriler Kıtabı (pp. 183–191). Ankara, Turkey: Ankara Üniversitesi.

Tunoğlu, C. (1994). Microfacies analysis of the upper Paleocene–middle Eocene carbonate sequence Devrekani Basin (Northern Kastamonu). Geological Bulletin of Turkey, 37(2), 43–51.

Uluocak, E. Ş., Pysklywec, R., & Göğüş, O. H. (2016). Present-day dynamic and residual topography in Central Anatolia. *Geophysical Journal International*, 206(3), 1515–1525. https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggw225

Vanacore, E. A., Taymaz, T., & Saygin, E. (2013). Moho structure of the Anatolian Plate from receiver function analysis. *Geophysical Journal International*, 193, 329–337. https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggs107

van der Meijde, M., van der Lee, S., & Giardini, D. (2003). Crustal structure beneath broad-band seismic stations in the Mediterranean region. *Geophysical Journal International*, 152, 729–739.

van Hinsbergen, D. J. J., Kaymakci, N., Spakman, W., & Torsvik, T. H. (2010). Reconciling the geological history of western Turkey with plate circuits and mantle tomography. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 297(3–4), 674–686. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2010.07.024

van Hunen, J., & Allen, M. B. (2011). Continental collision and slab break-off: A comparison of 3-D numerical models with observations. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 302, 27–37. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2010.11.035

Varol, E., Temel, A., Yürür, T., Gourgaud, A., & Bellon, H. (2014). Petrogenesis of the Neogene bimodal magmatism of the Galatean Volcanic Province, Central Anatolia, Turkey. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, 280, 14–29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores. 2014.04.014

Walsh-Kennedy, S., Aksu, A., Hall, J., Hiscott, R., Yaltirak, C., & Çifçi, C. (2014). Source ot sink: The development of the latest Messinian to Pliocene-Quaternary Cilicia and Adana Basins and their linkages with the onland Mut Basin, eastern Mediterranean. *Tectonophysics*, 622, 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2014.01.019

Wessel, P., & Smith, W. H. F. (1991). Free software helps map and display data. EOS, Transactions American Geophysical Union, 72(41), 441–446.

Whipple, K. X. (2009). The influence of climate on the tectonic evolution of mountain belts. *Nature Geoscience*, 2, 97–104. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/ngeo413

Whipple, K. X., & Tucker, G. E. (1999). Dynamics of the stream-power river incision model: Implications for height limits of mountain ranges, landscape response times, and research needs. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 104(B8), 17661–17644. https://doi.org/10.1029/ 1999JB900120

Whipple, K. X., & Tucker, G. E. (2002). Implications of sediment-flux dependent river incision models for landscape evolution. Journal of Geophysical Research, 107(B2). https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JB000044 Willett, S. D., McCoy, S. W., Perron, J. T., & Chen, C.-Y. (2014). Dynamic reorganization of river basins. Science, 343, 1248,765, https://doi.org/ 10.1126/science.124875

Wilson, J. W. P., Roberts, G. G., Hoggard, M. J., & White, N. J. (2014). Cenozoic epeirogeny of the Arabian Peninsula from drainage modeling. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 15, 3723–3761. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GC005283

Wilson, M., Tankut, A., & Guleç, N. (1997). Tertiary volcanism of the Galatia province, north-west Central Anatolia, Turkey. Lithos, 42(1–2), 105–121. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0024-4937(97)00039-X

- Yamauchi, H., & Takei, Y. (2016). Polycrystal anelasticity at near-solidus temperatures. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 121, 7790–7820. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JB013316
- Yıldırım, C., Melnick, D., Ballato, P., Schildgen, T. F., Echtler, H., Erginal, A. E., Kiyak, N. G., & Strecker, M. F. (2013). Differential uplift along the northern margin of the Central Anatolian Plateau: Inferences from marine terraces. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 81, 12–28. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2013.09.011
- Yıldırım, C., Sarikaya, M. A., & Çiner, A. (2016). Late Pleistocene intraplate extension of the Central Anatolian Plateau, Turkey: Inferences from cosmogenic exposure dating of alluvial fan, landslide, and moraine surfaces along the Ecemiş Fault Zone. *Tectonics*, 35, 1446– 1464. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015TC004038
- Yıldırım, C., Schildgen, T. F., Echtler, H., Melnick, D., & Strecker, M. R. (2011). Late Neogene and active orogenic uplift in the Central Pontides associated with the North Anatolian Fault: Implications for the northern margin of the Central Anatolian Plateau, Turkey. *Tectonics*, 30, TC5005. https://doi.org/10.1029/2010TC002756
- Yıldız, A., Toker, V., Demircan, H., & Sevim, S. (2003). Paleoenvironmental interpretation and findings of Pliocene-Pleistocene nannoplankton, planktic foraminifera, trace fossil in the Mut Basin. Yerbilimleri, 28, 123–144.
- Zhu, L., Mitchell, B. J., Akyol, N., Cemen, I., & Kekovali, K. (2006). Crustal thickness variations in the Aegean region and implications for the extension of continental crust. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *111*, B01301. https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JB003770

Zindler, A., & Hart, S. (1986). Chemical Geodynamics. Annual Reviews of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 14, 493–571.

- Zor, E., Özalaybey, S., & Gürbüz, C. (2006). The crustal structure of the eastern Marmara region, Turkey by teleseismic receiver functions. Geophysical Journal International, 167, 213–222. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2006.03042.x
- Zor, E., Sandvol, E., Gürbüz, C., Türkelli, N., Seber, D., & Barazangi, M. (2003). The crustal structure of the East Anatolian plateau (Turkey) from receiver functions. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *30*(24), 8044. https://doi.org/10.1029/2003GL018192

Supporting Information for

"Neogene Uplift and Magmatism of Anatolia: Insights from Drainage Analysis and Basaltic Geochemistry"

F. McNab¹, P. W. Ball¹, M. J. Hoggard¹ and N. J. White¹

¹Bullard Laboratories, Department of Earth Sciences, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK.

Contents

- 1. Text S1
- 2. Figure S1
- 3. Table S1

Additional Supporting Information (Files uploaded separately)

1. Captions for Datasets S1, S2 and S3

Corresponding author: Fergus McNab, fm430@cam.ac.uk

Corresponding author: Nicky White, njw10@cam.ac.uk

Text S1.

In Section 2.1 of the main text, we compare observed relationships between elevation and crustal thickness with relationships predicted from isostatic considerations. Here, we outline in more detail our methodology. All parameter values are defined in Table S1 and a schematic cartoon is shown in Figure S1.

The expected elevation, e, of a column of lithospheric material with respect to the depth of a standard mid-ocean ridge is given by

$$e = t_{cc} \left(\frac{\rho_a - \rho_{cc}}{\rho_a}\right) - t_{oc} \left(\frac{\rho_a - \rho_{oc}}{\rho_a}\right) - s_w \left(\frac{\rho_a - \rho_w}{\rho_a}\right) + (a - t_{cc}) \left(\frac{\rho_a - \rho_m}{\rho_a}\right).$$
(1)

We assume that *e* is small compared to *a*. We estimate the density of oceanic asthenospheric mantle, ρ_a , assuming an adiabatic temperature gradient and accounting for the effects of compressibility to obtain

$$\rho_a = \left(1 - \alpha \overline{T_a} + \frac{\overline{P_a}}{K}\right) \rho_0,\tag{2}$$

where the average temperature of oceanic asthenosphere, $\overline{T_a}$, is given by

$$\overline{T_a} = T_{base} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{dT}{dz} \left(a - s_w - t_{oc} \right) \tag{3}$$

and where the temperature at the base of the column, T_{base} , is given by

$$T_{base} = T_P + a \frac{dT}{dz}.$$
(4)

The average lithostatic pressure of oceanic asthenosphere, $\overline{P_a}$, is given by

$$\overline{P_a} = \frac{P_{base} + \left(g\left(\rho_w s_w + \rho_{oc} t_{oc}\right)\right)/1000}{2}$$
(5)

where the pressure at the base of the column, P_{base} , is approximated by

$$P_{base} = (\rho_w s_w + \rho_{oc} t_{oc}) g/1000 + \frac{dP}{dz} (a - s_w - t_{oc}).$$
(6)

We estimate the density of continental lithospheric mantle, ρ_m , by assuming a linear geotherm from the surface to the base of the lithosphere and by accounting for the effects of depletion and compressibility so that

$$\rho_l = \left(1 - \alpha \overline{T_l} + \frac{\overline{P_m}}{K}\right) (\rho_0 - \Delta \rho_d), \qquad (7)$$

where the average pressure in the continental lithospheric mantle, $\overline{P_m}$, is given by

$$\overline{P_l} = \frac{P_{base} + (t_{cc}\rho_{cc}g)/1000}{2}.$$
(8)

We now investigate two end members for the thermal structure of continental lithosphere. In the first case shown in Figure S1a, continental lithosphere is in thermal equilibrium and its average temperature, $\overline{T_l}$, is given by

$$\overline{T_l} = \frac{T_{base}}{2} \left(1 + \frac{t_{cc}}{a} \right). \tag{9}$$

In the second case shown in Figure S1b, we simulate removal of lithospheric material (e.g. by delamination), without heating of the remaining lithosphere back to a steady-state geotherm. In this disequilibrated case, the average temperature of continental lithosphere is given by

$$\overline{T_l} = \frac{T_{base,0} \ a}{2 \ a_0} \left(1 + \frac{z_{cc}}{a}\right) \tag{10}$$

where $z_{l,0}$ is the original lithospheric thickness prior to loss or thinning and $T_{base,0}$ is the temperature at the base of the original continental column, which yields

$$T_{base,0} = T_P + a_0 \frac{dT}{dz}.$$
 (11)

	Parameter	Symbol	Value	Units
Mid-ocean Ridge	Ridge depth	Sw	2.8	km
	Oceanic crust thickness Oceanic asthenosphere thickness	$a = s_w = z_{oc}$	/.1	km km
	Water density	ρ_w	1.0	$Mg m^{-3}$
	Oceanic crust density	Poc	2.80 ^a	$Mg m^{-3}$
	Oceanic asthenosphere density	ρ_a		$Mg m^{-3}$
	Mantle adiabatic temperature gradient	dT/dz	0.44	°C km ⁻¹
	Mantle pressure gradient	dP/dz	0.033	GPa km ⁻¹
	Average temperature in the oceanic asthenosphere	$\overline{T_a}$		°C
	Average pressure in the oceanic asthenosphere	$\overline{P_a}$		GPa
Continent	Elevation above sea-level	е		km
	Continental crust thickness	t _{cc}		km
	Continental lithosphere thickness	a		km km
	Continental crust density	<i>a</i> ₀	2.80 ^b	Mg m ⁻³
	Continental lithosphere density	PCC	2.00	Mg m ⁻³
	Continental lithosphere depletion density	$\Delta \rho_A$		Mg m ⁻³
	Average temperature in the continental lithosphere	$\frac{-r}{T_1}a$		°C
	Average pressure in the continental lithosphere	$\frac{l}{P_a}$		GPa
General	Reference mantle density	ρm	3.33	$Mg m^{-3}$
	Mantle thermal expansion coefficient	α	3.3×10^{-5}	$^{\circ}C^{-1}$
	Bulk modulus	Κ	115.2	GPa
	Potential temperature of ambient mantle	T_P	1320	°C
	Temperature at base of column	T_{base}		°C
	Original temperature at base of column	Ibase,0	0.9	L 1
	Gravitational acceleration	8	9.8	ms '

Table S1. Parameters used in isostatic calculations.

^a Average density of young (i.e. hot) oceanic crust.
 ^b Average value for Anatolia from EPcrust reference model [Molinari and Morelli, 2011].



Figure S1. Cartoon showing lithospheric columns used for isostatic calculations. Symbols are defined in Table S1. (a) Equilibrated case. (b) Disequilibrated case.

Dataset S1.

Dataset S1 is compilation of published receiver function estimates of Anatolian crustal thickness. Station names and locations, number of receiver functions modeled, estimated Moho depth, and technique employed are all given where available. Note that elevations are extracted from topographic grid that is low-pass filtered for wavelengths > 30 km [*Becker et al.*, 2009].

Dataset S2.

Dataset S2 is drainage inventory as a multi-segment .csv file. Format is: latitude (°), longitude (°), elevation (m), longitudinal distance (m) and upstream drainage area (m²). River profiles were extracted from SRTM digital elevation model of *Becker et al.* [2009] using Arc-GIS flow-routing algorithms [*Tarboton*, 1997].

Dataset S3.

Dataset S3 is compilation of all available geochemical analyses for Neogene magmatic rocks from Anatolia. Ages are assigned either by radiometric dating or by stratigraphic relationships. Although only samples with MgO > 5 wt% were used in this study, samples with MgO > 4 wt% are included for completeness. Major elements are normalized so that the sum of weights = 100 wt%. We also provide estimates of melt equilibration pressure and temperature calculated using thermobarometric scheme of *Plank and Forsyth* [2016].

References

- Becker, J., D. Sandwell, W. Smith, J. Braud, B. Binder, J. Depner, F. D., J. Factor, S. Ingalls, S.-H. Kim, R. Ladner, K. Marks, S. Nelson, A. Pharoah, R. Trimmer, J. von Rosenberg, G. Wallace, and P. Weatherall (2009), Global bathymetry and elevation data at 30 arc second resolution: SRTM30_plus, *Marine Geology*, 32(4), 355–371.
- Molinari, I., and A. Morelli (2011), EPcurst: a reference model for the European Plate, *Geophysical Journal International*, *185*(1), 352–364, doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.2011.04940.x.
- Plank, T., and D. Forsyth (2016), Thermal structure and melting conditions in the mantle beneath the Basin and Range province from seismology and petrology, *Geochemistry*, *Geophysics, Geosystems*, 17, 1312–1338, doi:10.1002/2015GC006205.
- Tarboton, D. G. (1997), A new method for the determination of flow directions and upslope areas in grid elevation models, *Water Resources Research*, 33(2), 309–319, doi: 10.1029/96WR03137.